

(^h '9 i fcdYUb '5 WUXYa]WiGma dcg]ia 'cb '95 : GhYY`a U_]b[

16-18 June 2021



5 VghfUWhVcc_`Yhi

Content

Session I	.3
Development of a modular mathematical model for the EAF process	.4
On the importance of the heat exchange modeling assumptions in electric arc furnace process models	.6
EAF Process Model and Simulator	.8
Session II	.10
The adaptible and efficient EAF for the future	.11
ISMELT – Inteco Scrap MELting Technology evolution	.13
New plant developments integrated with Industry 4.0 solutions to the EAF of Acciaierie di Calvisano	.15
Session III	.17
Exploring the Physics of the Electric Arc Furnaces	.18
The stirring of melts in EAF	.20
Experimental Study and CFD Modeling of Scrap Melting Behavior in AC EAF	.22
Session IV	.24
An evaluation of the pneumatic lime injection benefits for the production of C82D2 steel by electric arc furnace	.25
Static dissolution evaluation of dolomite-based materials in EAF-type slag	.28
Achieving a new level of process efficiency in EAF steelmaking with sample preparation free slag analysis based on Laser OES	.30
Optical emission spectroscopy in electric arc furnaces and ladle furnaces – from laboratory to industrial applications	.32
Session V	.35
Digital transformation of the steelmaking industry: An EAF case study	.36
Study of the influence of the charging materials on the metallic loss of a continuous charging electric arc furnace by multiple linear regression	.38
IDEAS: Intelligent Dynamic EAF Advisory System for Improving Operating Efficiency	.41
Preliminary experiences from the application of model predictive control for the EAF process in stainless steelmaking	.42

GYgg]cb =

8 YjY`cda Ybhic ZU'acXi`Uf`aUh\YaUh]WU`acXY`Zcf`h\Y`95: ˈdfcW¥gg` XЁXĔXãă`¦ã£ŠĚAP^\\æ¢æÉAT ĚACE |æÉA/ĚA2æèà¦ããã •Á

Cb[·]h,Y[·]]adcfhUbWY[·]cZh,Y[·],YUhYIWY Ub[Y[·]acXY[·]]b[[·]Uggiadh]cbg[·]]b[·]Y[·]YWf]WUfWZ fbUWY[·]dfcWYgg[·] acXY[·]g[·] RÈÖ芭和[·]¦}i}^{a^}:

95: `DfcWVgg`AcXY`UbX`G]ai`Uhcf` VÈPæੰÊ√EÒ&@^¦@,-ÊÆPÈĂÚ-^ã^¦

8 Yj Y cda YbhcZU a cXi `Uf`a Uh Ya UhjWU`a cXY`Zcf`h Y 95: `dfcWYgg`

V.-V. Visuri, L. Hekkala, M. Aula, T. Fabritius Process Metallurgy Research Unit, University of Oulu, PO Box 4300, 90014 University of Oulu, Finland

ville-valtteri.visuri@oulu.fi

-BHFC817H-CB

Electric arc furnace is the main process in scrapbased steelmaking and accounts for roughly 30% of total crude steel production. Over the years, numerous mathematical models have been proposed for the EAF process, ranging from models focusing on different aspects of the process to comprehensive process models, which aim to account for all the main phenomena affecting the course of the charge. An exhaustive review of the mathematical process models for the EAF process has been published recently by Hay et al. [1]. They concluded that while modern models can predict well the distribution of energy and changes in metal, slag, and off-gas compositions with reasonably good accuracy, the description of kinetics could be improved based on approaches developed for other metallurgical processes and by making use of new online measurements.

This work aims at developing a fundamental mathematical model of the EAF process for online use. The model is based on stand-alone modules for 1) scrap melting, 2) gas-phase reactions in the freeboard, and 3) metal–slag reactions. The model is executed in the Python programming language.

G7 F 5 D A 9 @H=B; '

The scrap melting module was executed by Ringel [2]. This module focuses on the stage, in which the electrode has already bored into the scrap pile and the molten surface is exposed. The furnace is assumed to be a cylindrical vessel without the step formed by refractory lining.

The radiative heat transfer from the electrode to the various surface is calculated based on view factors and radiosities. The effect of slag on heat radiation is neglected. To simplify the treatment of view factors for heat radiation, the AC furnaces are modelled as having only one large electrode. The electrode is described as a cylinder with homogeneous current and temperature. The arc voltage is calculated based on the current and arc length according to the Bowman [3] correlation using parameters from Jones et al. [4]. The length of the arc is then solved iteratively from the voltage and current, while the arc radius is solved based on arc length and current. The view factor calculation was validated through comparison with CFD simulations [5].

The model features also a description for burners operating with natural gas. The natural gas is treated as pure CH_4 and the burner efficiency is calculated using a hyperbolic-tangent approximation [6].

To supply the module with reliable thermochemical data, a thermochemistry module developed in earlier work [7] was converted into Python and revised to account for the following elements and compounds:

- Fe, C, Si, Cr, Mn, and P in the metal phase,
- FeO, SiO₂, Cr₂O₃, MnO, P₂O₅, CaO, MgO, and Al₂O₃ in the slag phase, and
- N_2 , O_2 , CO, CO_2 and CH_4 in the gas phase.

The time integration of the differential equations for mass, energy, and geometry is carried out using an explicit Runge-Kutta method with adaptive step size.

; 5 G!D< 5 G9 F957 H=CBG =B H< 9 : F996 C5 F8

A module for the gas phase reactions in the freeboard was executed withing the framework of the Master's thesis of Jussila [8]. This module is based on Gibbs energy minimization using a Lagrangian steepestdescent method proposed by White et al. [9]. The definition of initial values for the minimization routine is conducted according to the method proposed by Blecic et al. [10].

A9H5@ËG@5; F957H=CBG

Multiple reactions occur between liquid steel and slag in the EAF process. The aim of the work started by Hekkala [11] was to develop a dynamic module to observe chemical reactions between metal and phases during the flat bath stage. To obtain a low computational expense, the mass transfer constrained equilibrium was formulated according to the effective equilibrium model by Robertson et al. [12], which has been used extensively in mathematical models for converter and ladle processes [13]. The activities of species in the metal and slag phases were described using the UIP formalism [14] and the regular solution model by Ban-Ya [15], respectively. The metal–slag reaction module will also account for the addition of slag forming agents.

DF9@+A=B5FMF9GI@HG

The module for scrap melting was tested using data from an AC EAF in operation at Ovako Imatra Oy Ab in Imatra, Finland. The furnace is equipped with three gas burners. Lime additions are used during the melting stage, while carbon injection is used for foaming the slag at the end of the heat. Fig 1 shows an example of a dynamic simulation for scrap melting. The charging of a second scrap basked is visible as a sudden jump in the amount of scrap.



Fig. 1 Simulated scrap melting as a function of time in an example heat.

To provide a functional validation for the gas phase reaction module, a comparison was made with earlier studies based on an example case for the combustion of hydrazine and oxygen by White et al. [9]. The results obtained for this case exhibit a nearly perfect agreement with the results by White et al. [9] and an exact match with the results by Blecic et al. [10] obtained using the same calculation routine (see Table 1). The case shown in Table 1 was recalculated using the Gibbs energy data from HSC Chemistry 9 and the results were found to be in excellent agreement with those predicted by the HSC Chemistry 9. These results indicate that the Gibbs energy minimization routine was executed correctly.

Table	1	Equilibrium	composition	for	combustion	of	
hydrazine and oxygen at 3500 K and 750 Psi.							

	Abundance					
Species	This	White	Blecic			
	work [8]	et al. [9]	et al. [10]			
Н	0.040655	0.040668	0.040655			
H ₂	0.14771	0.14773	0.14771			
H₂O	0.783187	0.783153	0.783187			
N	0.001414	0.001414	0.001414			
N ₂	0.485248	0.485247	0.485248			
NH	0.000693	0.000693	0.000693			
NO	0.027397	0.027399	0.027397			
0	0.017941	0.017947	0.017941			
O ₂	0.037309	0.037314	0.037309			
ОН	0.096857	0.096872	0.096857			

As a next step, the gas phase reaction module was applied for predicting gas-phase equilibria relevant for EAF steelmaking using the off-gas data by Kirschen et al. [16]. The results were found to be in excellent agreement with those obtained using the HSC Chemistry software.

7 CB7 @ G=CBG'5 B8 'CI H@CC?'

This work aims at developing a fundamental mathematical model of the EAF process for online use. So far, stand-alone modules have been developed for scrap melting, gas-phase reactions in the freeboard and metal–slag reactions. The next step in the model development is to couple the modules together into a single model, apply the model for predicting the EAF process from charging to tapping, and validate the results with measurement data.

F9:9F9B79G

- [1] T. Hay, V.-V. Visuri, M. Aula, and T. Echterhof, Steel Res. Int. 92(3): 2000395, 2021.
- [2] A. Ringel, Master's thesis, RWTH Aachen University, 2020.
- [3] B. Bowman, Proceedings of the 52nd Electric Furnace Conference, 1994.
- [4] R. T. Jones, Q. G. Reynolds, and M. J. Alpoort, Miner. Eng., 15(11): 985–991, 2002.
- [5] T. Hay, J. Hernandez, S. Roberts, and T. Echterhof, Steel Res. Int., 92(2): 2000341, 2021.
- [6] V. Logar, D. Dovžan, and I. Škrjanc, ISIJ Int., 52(3): 402–412, 2012.
- [7] V.-V. Visuri, P. Sulasalmi, T. Vuolio, T. Paananen, T. Haas, H. Pfeifer, and T. Fabritius, Proceedings of the 4th European Steel Technology and Application Days, 2019.
- [8] R. Jussila, Master's thesis, University of Oulu, forthcoming.
- [9] W. B. White, S. M. Johnson, G. B. Dantzig, and J. Ch m. Phys., 28(5): 751–755, 1958.
- [10] J. Blecic, J. Harrington, and M. O. Bowman, ApJJS, 225(1): 1–142, 2016.
- [11] L. Hekkala, Master's thesis, University of Oulu, forthcoming.
- [12] D. Robertson, B. Deo, and S. Ohguchi, Ironmaking Steelmaking, 11(1): 41–55, 1984.
- [13] M. Järvinen, V.-V. Visuri, E. Heikkinen, A. Kärnä, P. Sulasalmi, C. De Blasio, and T. Fabri ius, ISIJ Int., 56(9): 1543–1552, 2016.
- [14] A. D. Pelton and C. W. Bale, Metall. Trans. A, 17(7): 1211-1215, 1986.
- [15] S. Ban-Ya, ISIJ Int., 33(1): 2-11, 1993.
- [16] M. Kirschen, V. Velikorodov, H. Pfeifer, R. Kühn, S. Lenz, J. Loh, and K. Schaefers, Proceedings of the 8th European Electric Steelmaking Conference, 2005.

Cbˈh\Y`]adcfhUbWY`cZh\Y`\YUhYIWYUb[Y`acXY`]b['Uggiadh]cbg`]b`YYWYf]W UfWZIfbUWY`dfcWYgg`acXY`g``

Jesús D. Hernández

a) Process Dynamics and Operations Group, Department of Biochemical and Chemical Engineering, Technische Universität Dortmund, Emil Figge-Str. 70, 44221 Dortmund, Germany

e-mail: jesus.hernandez@tu-dortmund.de

-BHFC817H-CB

Electric steelmaking is the second most used method to produce steel worldwide. Despite its long history, one of the main challenges in modern electric steelmaking is identifying how to operate the process best, such that its economics and environmental impact are improved. This challenge can be addressed effectively and at a low cost using modern computational tools. On the one hand, process models can be developed to clarify the energetic phenomena taking place in an electric arc furnace (EAF). On the other hand, dynamic optimization can be employed to compute an optimal mode of operation that reduces the energy demand of the process. By improving the energy efficiency of the process, the economics and the environmental impact are also enhanced.

95: DFC79GGAC89@

The main target of the EAF process is to melt solid scrap and refine the chemical composition of the resulting liquid metal. To achieve this target, an EAF uses various energy and materials inputs. From a macro perspective, the net energy contribution from each energy input to the process can be computed as the multiplication of the energy content in the energy carrier by its corresponding efficiency factor – which changes throughout the batch. These efficiency factors can be estimated from mathematical models that describe the mechanisms of heat exchange in the process. Fig. 1 Presents such a modeling approach.



Fig. 1 Energy inputs and energy efficiencies for each input

<95 H[°] 9L7 <5 B; 9[°] 5 GGIA DH=CBG[°] 5 B8[°] H<9∓[°] =A D57 H[−]B[°]A C89 @@B; [°]5 B8[°]CDH=A=N5 H=CB[°]

One of the fundamental assumptions in EAF process modeling is the mechanisms of heat exchange that dominate in the process. Even though significantly different assumptions may lead to equally accurate predictions of the terminal states of the process, the dynamic evolution (path) followed by key state variables can vary significantly from one to another. For example, very similar batch times, liquid metal temperatures, or final chemical compositions can be achieved assuming that the electrical energy from the arc is transferred to the solid and liquid metal phases in the EAF through either conduction, convection, radiation, or a mix of these [1]. On the other hand, for optimization purposes (advanced process control), a given set of assumed heat exchange mechanisms can lead to significantly different paths, and consequently, to sub-optimal or even- not optimal control solutions.

Clarifying heat exchange mechanisms is key to obtaining an accurate estimation of unmeasured variables during the process and adequately identifying relevant control variables (optimization parameters). While radiative heat exchange between the arc and the metal phases can be manipulated using control variables that change the geometry of the arc, convection heat exchange can be enhanced by manipulating the setpoints of the off-gas fans, the oxy-fuel burners, or the aperture of the de-slagging door. This is because the latter group depends on the temperature and the speed of the gaseous atmosphere.

H<9[·]?9M 61=@8=B; [·]6@C7?ž F58=5H=J9[·] 9L7<5B; 9[·]69HK99B[·]H<9[·]5F7[·]5B8[·]H<9[·] A9H5@D<5G9G^r

Electrical energy is the primary energy input in the EAF process. It supplies between 40-60% of the total energy demand of a batch of steel. Because of its relevance, finding an accurate description of these phenomena is critical in any optimization attempt of the process. Although convection and conduction have traditionally been regarded as the main mechanisms of heat exchange in EAF process models, physicists have suggested that thermal plasmas, those occurring in the EAF process, are radiation-dominated [2]. If the assumption that the electric arc losses 100% of its electrical input power via radiation mechanisms is made, it is straightforward to find mathematical relationships between real control variables and the electrical energy efficiency of the process. This can be done by integrating an EAF process model that considers only radiation mechanisms [1] with and a model of the arc model that estimates how the voltage and impedance setpoints of the arc affect the geometry and temperature of the electric arc [3].

On the practical side, operational experience also suggests that radiation is the primary mechanism of heat exchange in the EAF. In practice, the furnace's operators are well versed in improving the melting performance of the process by changing the electrical setpoints of the electrical arc. The correlation between electrical setpoints and melting performance can be justified as follows: as the electrical setpoints are changed, both the electrical power and the geometry of the arc are changed. Furthermore, as the geometry of the arc is varied, the efficiency of the exchange also varies. It can be demonstrated that the longer the electric arc is, the more efficient the melting process is.

=A D5 7 H' C: A C8 9 @@=B; 5 GGI A DH=CBG' =B' CDH=A =N5 H=CB 5 DDF C5 7 < 9 G'

Assuming that radiation is the dominant mechanism of heat exchange in the process, optimal modes of operation from an energy perspective can be computed, solving an optimization problem that aims to minimize the electrical energy losses during a batch of steel. As suggested in [4], the problem can be formulated as

minimize $\int_{t=0}^{t_f} Q_{loss}(P_a(t), t_f)$ (1) Dynamic model Algebraic equations Linear relation: $l_a(P_a)$ Operative constraints Terminal constraint

Using dynamic optimization to optimize the performance of the process has already been proposed in the literature [5]. Despite the similarities in the mathematical formulation of the strategy, in [5], a conduction-convection dominated EAF model with a fixed electric arc geometry was used. On the other hand, [4] employs a radiation-dominated EAF model with variable arc length, which depends on the operative power level.

Another difference between [5] and [4] is that while in the first, the most critical heat transfer mechanisms are estimated using process data and assumed to be constant throughout the optimization, in the latter, they are not. In fact, the first principles model utilized in [4] capture the dependency of the heat exchange on the control variable at all times.

J5 @ 485 H = C B' C: ' F9 GI @ HG' = B' H < 9' F95 @ DF C79 GG'

The main difference between the computed results in [5] and [4] is that while the first optimization yielded an optimal mode of operation that kept almost constant the electrical power input through the batch, the second reduces the operative power level as the batch time progresses. The computed optimal control

profile was implemented in a fully operative EAF to validate the results of the optimization framework (1). For a group of 19 test batches, the energy demand and the batch time of the process were reduced by approximately 4% compared to the average energy demand and batch time using the standard mode of operation. In the tested EAF, the common operative practice followed a similar operational philosophy to that computed in [5], aiming to maintain an almost constant power level throughout the batch. The energy and batch time improvements using the formulation (1) in the real process are presented in Fig 2.



Fig. 2 Batch time and Energy demand improvements for one family of SS. KPI 1: Batch time. KPI 2: Energy demand. Taken from [4].

7 C B 7 @ G=C B

One of the essential assumptions when developing an EAF model is the heat exchange mechanisms between the various energy inputs and the metal phases in the process. Proper modeling of the heat exchange mechanisms is key to obtaining an accurate prediction of the evolution of the process and, thus, to the results obtained within a model-based optimization framework.

F9:9F9B79G

- [1] T. Hay, V. Visuri, M. Aula and T. Echterhof, "A Review of Mathematical Process Models for the Electric Arc Furnace Process", Steel Res. Int., p. 202000395, 2020.
- [2] J. J. Lowke, "Characteristics of radiationdominated electric arcs," J. Appl. Phys., vol. 41, pp. 2588-2600, 1970.
- [3] J. D. Hernández, L. Onofri and S. Engell, "Numerical Estimation of the Geometry and Temperature of An Alternating Current Steelmaking Electric Arc," Steel. Res. Int., p. 2000386, 2020
- [4] J. D. Hernandez, L. Onofri and S. Engell, "Optimization of the electric efficiency of the electric steel making process", in 21st IFAC World Congress, Berlin, Germany, 2020
- [5] R. D. M. MacRosty, C. L. E. Swartz, "Dynamic optimization of electric arc furnace operation", AIChe J., vol. 53-3, pp. 640-653, 2007.

95: 'DfcWVgg'AcXY' UbX'G]a i `Uhcf'

<u>T. Hav</u>^{a)}, T. Echterhof, H. Pfeifer

RWTH Aachen University, Department for Industrial Furnaces and Heat Engineering hay@iob.rwth-aachen.de

-BHFC817H-CB

The EAF process consumes large amounts of energy and other resources and while it is significantly more efficient that the blast furnace route, there still is potential for further optimization. Due to the conditions within the furnace, including high temperatures and strong electromagnetic fields, it is often difficult to obtain the information necessary for such optimization through measurements.

Process models have proven useful in providing a better understanding of different phenomena that characterize the process but cannot be documented through measurements. Furthermore, such models can be applied for training and education as well as when evaluating alternative operating strategies or input materials, as an alternative to costly industry trials.

Numerous different modelling approaches both for the complete processes as well as isolated phenomena have been developed. These include the application computational fluid dynamics (CFD), statistical models as well as analytical process models. CFD models allow detailed insights into complex processes, they are however computationally demanding with calculations too time-consuming for online applications.^[1] Statistical models often applied to for example examine the energy consumption^[2] in turn are highly efficient in predicting certain characteristics but do not allow the extrapolation beyond the data used for training of the model or offer any insight into the underlying physical processes.^[3] Analytical process models represent a middle ground by reducing complexity through assumptions and simplifications but providing additional information and extrapolation capability, as the physical and thermodynamical relationships that they are based on are not limited to a certain process window or plant.

The model presented in the following provides a comprehensive and flexible modelling approach that is applicable both to off-line examination and optimization as well as on-line use such as process control and a real-time simulator for operator training.

AC89@89J9@CDA9BH

The model is based on the approach published by Logar et al.^[4-8] and further refined by Meier^[9-13]. A total

of eight model zones, each homogenous in temperature and composition are defined. These include the solid scrap, steel melt, solid and liquid slag, electrode and water-cooled furnace roof and walls. Between these zones, heat and mass transfer rates are determined for each iteration considering the radiative, conductive and convective heat transfer, phase changes, chemical reactions as well as the addition and removal of mass flows from the furnace such as the off-gas extraction and the injection of oxygen or carbon.

Meier improved the description of the gas phase and the radiative heat transfer, validating the adjusted model based on extensive industrial plant data from a 140 t DC arc furnace.^[9, 10, 13] Based on Meier's model and the same validation data, the thermochemistry of the bath and slag was modelled and validated in more detail.^[14] Furthermore, model stability and speed where increased allowing the development of a realtime simulator with input not from recorded data but through a user interface with direct feedback of the simulation results.^[15]

In addition to the simulation based on measured data or user input, an algorithm has been developed that allows the automatic creation of operating strategies based on furnace parameters such as maximum energy and mass flows, desired temperature and carbon content and charged masses. This allows a large number of different scenarios to be generated and evaluated automatically, so that optimized operation charts can be developed for varying conditions.^[15, 16]

F9GI @HG

Figure 1 shows the measured and simulated CO content of the off-gas averaged for 149 heats, reproduced from reference^[10]. Meas. indicates a measured value, sim. a result of the simulation, Anthr. heats using anthracite coal as a carbon carrier charged with the scrap and PKS the use of palm kernel shells as an alternative carbon source. The graphs show that the model is capable of reproducing the qualitative characteristics of the differences between the two carbon carriers, illustrating the applicability as an off-line tool for process optimization and evaluation of alternative materials and operation strategies.



Fig. 1 Measured and simulated CO content

Figure 2 shows the simulated Temperature of a heat based on a measured operation chart (Case 0), on an operation chart developed automatically to match the measured case (Case 1) and on one developed to adjust for the use of a different oxygen source with a higher nitrogen content (Case 2). It shows how the model can reproduce a chart comparable to real-life operation and automatically adjust it for different conditions to give a similar result as is described in more detail in reference^[15].



Fig. 2 Measured and simulated CO content

7 CB7 @ G=CB 5 B8 CI H@CC?

A fast and comprehensive EAF process model can be useful for a wide range of applications such as off-line optimization, on-line process control and operator training. The presented model allows the simulation of a heat of 60 minutes in less than one minute on an office computer, making on-line applications possible. It has been validated using extensive process data from industrial EAF and different modes have been developed using the same core model to allow the simulation based on measured data, direct user input through a simulator interface and the automatic generation and adjustment of operation charts for different conditions.

F9:9F9B79G

- [1] CXYbh Už < ">'' ?Ya a]b[Yfž 5 ", ?fU gYž : ", GUb_ck g_jž @/ I YVVYfž B", Jc[ž B". Steel Reseach International Vol. 89 (2018), No. 1
- [2] 7 Uf`ggcbž @' G'' GUa i Y`ggcbž D" 6 '' > "bggcbžD"; ". Metals Vol. 9 (2019), No. 9, pp. 959-993
- [3] 7 Ua Yf cbž = / < Ub[cgž?". Process Modelling and Model Analysis, Volume 4, Elsevier, London, 2001
- [4] @c[Ufž J'' ü_f'UbWž =". ISIJ Int. Vol. 52 (2012), No. 7, pp. 1225-1232
- [5] @c[Ufž J'' ü_f'UbWž =. ISIJ Int. Vol. 52 (2012), No. 10, pp. 1924-1924
- [6] @c[Ufž J '/' 8 cj ÿUbž 8 '/' ü_f'UbWž =. ISIJ Int. Vol. 52 (2012), No. 3, pp. 402-412
- [7] @c[Ufž J '/' 8 cj ÿUbž 8 '/' ü_f 'UbWž =". ISIJ Int. Vol. 52 (2012), No. 3, pp. 413-423
- [8] @c[Ufž J '/' 8 cj ÿUbž 8 '/' ü_f'UbWž =. ISIJ Int. Vol. 51 (2011), No. 3, pp. 382-391
- [9] A YJYfž H"/; Ub Xhž? "/ < Unž H"/ 9 W HYf\ cZž H". Steel Res. Int. Vol. 89 (2018), No. 4, p. 1700487
- [10] A Y]YfžH'' < UnžH'' 9 W hYf \ cZH'' DZ']Z'fž < '' F Y_YfgXf YYgž H'' GW `]b[Yž @' 9`gUVU[\ ž G'' GW `]Yd\ U_Yz < '. Steel Res. Int. Vol. 88 (2017), No. 9, p. 1600458
- [11] A YJYfž H"/; UbXhž? "/'9W hYf\ cZž H"/'DZ/JZYfž <". Metall. Mater. Trans. B Vol. 48 (2017), No. 6, pp. 3329-3344
- [12] A YJYfžH"/ @c[UfžJ"/9W hYf\ cZžH"/ = cfžü"/ DZJZffž < ". Steel Res. Int. Vol. 87 (2016), No. 5, pp. 581-588
- [13] **A Y]Yfž H".** RWTH Aachen University, Faculty of Georessources and Materials Engineering, 2016
- [14] < Umž H''F Y]a Ubbž 5 '' 9 W HYf\ cZ H''. Metall. Mater. Trans. B Vol. 50 (2019), No. 5, pp. 2377-2388
- [15] **< Umž H"/' 9W hYf\ cZž H"/' J]gi f]ž J"!J".** Processes Vol. 7 (2019), No. 11, p. 852
- [16] **A YJYfžH".** RWTH Aachen University, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, 2017

Session II

The adaptible and efficient EAF for the future H. Beile

ISMELT – Inteco Scrap MELting Technology evolution

M. Manazzone, A. Valoppi

New plant developments integrated with Industry 4.0 solutions to the EAF of Acciaierie di Calvisano

M. Bersani, C. Senes, L. Angelini, P. Frittella, A. Zurru, G. Miglietta, C. Di Cecca, G. Tsymokh, F. Fredi, A. Ventura, D. Ressegotti, J. Brhel

H\Y`ZIHifY`cZY`YWMf]WighYY`aU_]b['Ë`=g`H\Y`U`!]b!cbY`gc`ih]cb`Yl]gh]b[3` !H\Y`UXUdHUV`Y`UbX`YZZJWJYbh'95:!`

e-mail: hannes@triples.solutions mobile: +49 151 28298507

BHFC817HCB

Lowest operational costs, high productivity, perfect raw material flexibility, environmentally friendly with lowest emissions, safe and nearly man less with a perfect level of automation

- One furnace must fit all needs -

One type of electric arc furnace designed for all kind of possible raw material distributions. From 100% scrap operation to 100% virgin material input, more than 70% hot metal usage, low operational costs, improved chemical power input, and safety improvements are key features in the future together with lowest possible overall and especially CO₂ emissions. Smart automation tools for nearly man-less operation but with increased efficiency coming on top.

Continuous charging furnaces, shaft furnaces, singlebucket EAF, hybrid furnaces, twin furnaces, continuous production furnaces - a plenty of different furnace types are available on market which could be fed with different raw materials. This results in many possible variabilities but what are the benefits? What are the possibilities, if the environmental boundaries are very strict or CO2 taxes are coming in future? What to do with hydrogen reduced DRI in an electric arc furnace which is most probably coming more and more in future? This paper gives an overview of the most common furnace types operated and designed for different raw material scenarios and with different environmental limitation. The different raw materials themselves and the possible integration of electric steelmaking in integrated plants will be outlined as well.

HMD9G'C: '9@7HF=7'5F7': | FB579G'

Nowadays the diversity of different types of electric arc furnaces available on the market is huge. Each of the big five global suppliers have minimum two rather three or four different kind of electric arc furnaces in their portfolio for carbon steel solutions only. Local supplier solutions coming on top. The customer may decide between many solutions – or in better words: the customer must decide, and the customer has to select carefully in order to make the right choice for such a big investment. Several influences and effects driving the decision like capital investment, product quality, raw material flexibility and availability, environmental boundaries, operational costs, flexibility in productivity, industry 4.0 and many more. How to choose the best solution?

<u>DCGG=6@9`F5K`A5H9F=5@G`5B8`GI=H56@9`</u> <u>:IFB579`HMD9G</u>`

Scrap is the original basis of all raw materials for an electric arc furnace. Steel scrap was the reason for the invention and the boom of the EAF and the whole Mini-Mill history. Scrap is as well the reason why the process itself and advanced calculations in terms of timing and steel quality in an EAF is not that easy. The variation of the input material is at a level which no other steelmaking equipment is facing. Plenty of raw materials are available (Scrap, HBI, hot metal, pig iron, hot and cold DRI) and until a certain percentage all could be charged and melted down in an EAF. In terms of raw material flexibility there is no better solution available on market like an EAF minor adaptions or modifications in one or the other direction.

<u>: | H| F9'GH99@A5?=B;</u>

In the whole steelmaking world, the environmental topic is growing continuously and one of the topics is steelmaking without CO_2 emissions. The ironmaking with hydrogen is one of the most promising ways for a nearly CO_2 -free production. It seems to be a great solution to further reduce the carbon dioxide emissions for the steel industry - but what should be done with the DRI pellets afterwards? Steelmaking in a converter is not possible anymore because of missing carbon in the raw material and how to treat it efficiently in an EAF?

7 CB7 @ G=CB 5 B8 CI H@CC?

The large variety of different EAF-types makes it increasingly difficult to keep the overview and to find the best technical solution for its own situation in terms of space issues, raw material availability, electrical or chemical power availability and so on. On top of that the marketing strategy of many suppliers is strong with the background to sell new equipment which ends up in a unique selling point against all competitors. This presentation outlines that different electric arc furnace types for different raw material scenarios makes sense for some scenarios. It outlines as well that the best solution for raw material flexibility especially for DRI/HBI and hot metal combination together with scrap usage is a slight modified conventional EAF with adapted furnace volume, hot heel, correctly designed electrical and chemical power package which could work hand in hand with some special tools to increase the efficiency or to decrease the non-productive times. Back to basics with minor adaptions is even nowadays not the worst scenario – the adaptable EAF.

Different raw materials with different properties and qualities have as well different melting behaviours. If a combination is used for steelmaking the melting behaviour could easily change because the raw material influences each other. HBI and PI for example create immediately icebergs in the furnace shell if they touch each other during melting even if they are used with 10% of the overall raw material only. There is and will never be a furnace available where DRI, HBI and PI for its own could be charged via bucket above a certain percentage. 100% DRI feeding is nothing new and done successfully since many years, especially in the middle east. Electric arc furnaces operated up to 90% hot metal is as well existing many years - the presentation describes what is really needed to increase the efficiency for such a process in an EAF - the only important questions are: 1) At which level the economics is lost?

2) Is this the process route for the next 10-20 years?

3) Is it a transitional solution currently to change the complete steelmaking setup?

=GA9@H'Ë'=bhYWc'GW/Ud'A9@h]b['HYW/bc`c[m'Yjc`ih]cb'

<u>A " A UbUnncbYž5 "J Ucdd]</u>

michele.manazzone@inteco.at antonello.valoppi@inteco.at

INTRODUCTION

The electric furnace in the course of melting process produces great amount of fumes that are emitted into the Fume Treatment Plant and that represent a net loss of energy equal to ca. 20% of the total EAF power.

The attempt to recover the energy of these fumes by trying to pre-heat the ferrous scrap prior being charged into the electric furnace has always been of great interest.

Different plant engineering solutions with various and disputable results have been implemented in the course of the last 50 years, all of them suffering from great limitation in terms of both environmental nature the reliability of the plant itself.

In particular, the scrap pre-heating plant should meet three following conditions:

- Energy recovery due to efficient pre-heating process;
- Reliable design to withstand in time to the impacts of mechanical and thermal stresses without losing its efficiency
- Environmental compatibility due to emission of less amount of harmful substances

First and second are the points that actually promote the investment due to the cost reduction through energy recovery efficiency, while the last render it compatible towards the environment.

It is well known from the technology that combustion process at medium temperature (i.e. btw. 400 and 800°C) of plastic materials, paints, etc. generates the substances harmful for health, such as dioxins and furans, accompanied by bad smell as inevitable corollary. Expressly the conditions of scrap preheating. The efficient treatment of such fumes prior to their emission into the environment is as efficient as it is reduced their amount to be treated, which depends on the plant engineering soundness of the system.

1ST SECTION

In the past Inteco developed a scrap-preheating system among its products portfolio that did not produce the desired results in terms of either efficiency or environmental compatibility.

This system is commercially known as COSS. There the scrap is pushed in the EAF by means of a hydraulic actuator called pusher.

The system allow a preheating of the scrap up to 500°C.



Fig. 1 COSS Scrap Preheating System

&^{B8} ·G97 H=C B ·

In recent years, a new system has been developed to improve the reliability of the COSS, the ISMELT.

The scarp pusher has been replaced by an oscillating bottom to smoothly feed the scrap into the furnace.



With this system the scrap is uniformly fed in the shell and a retractable car allow the tilting of the platform when tapping and deslagging phase are required.

Simulation of the process and application study has been presented and introduced in the market in 2018.

F9GI@HG

Performance in terms of energy savings are in line with the COSS, and indicated around 60 KWh/t. To avoid excessive preheating temperature and the scrap melting risk a second fumes suction system is foreseen at the tunnel, close the EAF shell. Furtherly a third suction is applied at the scrap charging incoming, to capture the emission escaped from the preheating tunnel due to the rising of the hot gas into the charging column.

7 CB7 @ G=CB'5 B8 CI H@CC?

It's clear that where the mechanical parts are installed nearby the molten steel bath the consequent thermal and mechanical stress are the weak points of any preheating system.

This new ISMELT solution represent the new frontier of the scrap preheating, where combination of the energy savings meets the reliability of the equipment.

F9:9F9B79G

[1] Single Bucket Telescopic furnace versus Continuous Optimised Single Shaft (COSS) furnace. Authors: Stefano Miani, Achim Ehle, Riccardo Gottardi, Adam Partyka and Luca Gemo

New plant developments integrated with Industry 4.0 solutions to the EAF of Acciaierie di Calvisano

M. Bersani^{a)}, C. Senes^{a)}, A. Zurru^{a)}, G. Miglietta^{a)}, P. Frittella^{b)}, L.Angelini^{b)}, C. Di Cecca^{b)}, G. Tsymokh^{b)}, F. Fredi^{b)} A. Ventura^{c)} D. Ressegotti^{c)} J. Bhrel^{d)}

a) Acciaierie di Calvisano
b) Feralpi Siderurgica
c) Rina Centro Sviluppo Materiali
d) HTT Engineering

INTRODUCTION

The improvement of modern EAF technology needs a new approach coupling several applications as components able to realize more efficient chemical processes inside the reaction volume in terms of melting and metallurgy, but also systems able to suggest how to modify operating practices and control rules.

Due to this reasons for a modern EAF technology several applications are needed in terms of plant modifications, new measurements systems but also Industry 4.0 solutions able to improve capability of online process control coupled with a simulation approach to evaluate and manage the process phenomena occurring inside the EAF.

Acciaierie di Calvisano has implemented all these developments in the recent R&D project Steelpro4.0 co-financed by the Italian Region Lombardia in order to obtain performances improvement in EAF process.

EAF plant improvements

Main technology developments realized in EAF plants of Acciaierei di Calvisano have included a review of several areas of the process including scrap charging in basket, both electrical and chemical energy management and also new process facilities.

In terms of basket scrap charging modifications have been applied in the scrap treatment and cranes of basket scrap charging with new weight measurement and automatic information transfer to the system for steelmaking process data acquisition and management.

In particular modifications have been applied in electrical parameters managements with application of new TDR and also modification in application of chemical reactions inside the furnace with new modules coupling Gas, O2, C and CaO injections with a totally new configuration of injectors.

Further modifications have been implemented in the facilities for process management as new system for EBT control and heat restore in order to make tapping

procedure more feasible. Furthermore a new robot for automatic steel sampling for a more reliable steel control during the process has been implemented.

To reach the new configuration of the plant in terms of improvements of chemical injections several steps have been applied in last years and in particular starting with implementation of new CaO injection system. This evolution has been demonstrated to be needed for injection of CaO during the process for more reliable process control avoinding the higher amount of CaO charged by busket. This approach with continuous feeding has been in favour to energy balance and to metallurgy treatments (as, slag foaming, dephosphorization and scrap heating).

Furthermore new modules and configurations for O2 and Gas injections has been applied after a period of comparison between different releases of the components necessary to choose the most performant system.

As further step a totally new system of movable head injection modules has been tested and applied in the standard production with Oximo Technology that has given the possibility to flexibly manage the reacting scrap volumes to be involved in the chemical heating.

This application has also given the possibility to make variations in the liquid steel portion involved in O2 injection during refining enlarging the steel bath volume involved in the reactions.

The area of tapping hole (EBT) has been improved with the new automatic EBT cleaning and restore with powder that has given the possibility to realize these operations avoiding the necessity of human presence in the area increasing the safety conditions and reducing time of power off time.

Furthermore the improvements on the area has been reached with introduction of the systems for automatic feeding of additions in ladle during tapping increasing the reliability of the operations and process accuracy.

Improvements on process control with Industry4.0 solutions

Further improvements have been obtained through development of systems for process control and online control rules and guidelines.

In particular the on-line system of process management based on KPI's, EAFPro, has been further developed with improvement of process simulation with mathematical model on-line available for estimation of dynamic mass and energy balance applied to have a complete view of the process phenomena occurring during the production.

With this application the status of the process in real time can be obtained heat by heat considering the all process input in terms of materials and energies and also considering the all measurements available (as shell and roof cooling systems).

This approach based on KPI's is used to evaluate several phenomena and process conditions as:

- Steel Thermal status
- Steel and slag mass and compositions
- Off Gas conditions
- Scrap melting evolution
- Slag foaming and arc covering through acoustic measurement.
- Steel oxidation status and dephosphorization
- Unbalances of energy in input on the reacting area.
- Radiation occurring by electrical arcs and energies in input on cooled shell and roof
- Steel decarburization efficiency
- Off gas post combustion through EFSOP monitoring
- Monitoring of trends of electrical and chemical parameters
- Process performances summary through KPI's

With this approach a view of process evolution and performances in terms of final process results and specific technological KPI's are available in order to evaluate behaviour of the components, modifications of operating practices, on-line guidelines to be applied.

RESULTS

With these applications in the frame of last 5 years of production Acciaierie di Calvisano has obtained several process performances improvements in terms

of reduction of electrical energy consumption, reduction of Power On time, increase of metallic yield.

Further results obtained included also the improvement of safety conditions for the operators working on the area of the EAF and reduction of environmental impact of the production process with a reduction of CO2 emissions due to the EAF process.

CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

In recent years (since 2016 till 2021) Acciaierie di Calvisano has realized several improvements and implementations on the Area of EAF process for scrap melting both in terms of new plant components and implementation of on-line control systems in order to be able to have a more efficient process and on-line control rules based on KPI's.

These application of advanced components and new technologies also following the modern approach of industry 4.0 solutions coupling advanced process monitoring with mathematical simulation of the process and estimation of relevant KPI's has given also capability to improve process results thanks to a global approach on the process view.

These results obtained also thanks to the support of Regional R&D funding scheme and technological partners collaborations has given a good confidence on the approach followed giving reliability to the continuous process and production developments for Acciaierie di Calvisano addressed to production of new special steel grades in efficient way.

REFERENCES

- Improvement of metallic yield for the EAF of Acciaierie di Calvisano through application of KPI's approach – EEC 2016, Venice
- [2] Charge mix management and process simulation for improvement of EAF process to Acciaierie di Calvisano – EEC 2016, Venice

GYgg]cb ==

9 I d`cf]b['h\ Y`D\ mg]Wg`cZh\ Y`9`YW#f]W/5 fW: i fbUW/Yg`

M. Al-Nasser, A. Kharicha, M. Abdi, H. Barati, M. Wu, A. Ludwig, C. Redl, H. Holzgruber, A. Ishmurzin, C. Pichler, G. Hackl, M. Gruber, Y. Tang

H\Y`gh]ff]b[`cZaY`hg`]b`95: `

A. Chudnovsky

91 dYf]a YbHJ`Ghi XmUbX`7:8`AcXY`]b[`cZGWUd`AY`h]b[`6 Y\Uj]cf`]b`57`95:`

Y. Chen, S. Ryan, A. K. Silaen, C. Q. Zhou

À حجه ^\à ĐÀĐ[&] ` Yìas @ * {^ PÀĐ[&]à & AĐ[®] à ` Yìas @ AĐ[&] & AĐ[®] & AD[®] & AD

æÐÔ@ārcāæ)ÁÖ[]]|^¦ÁŠæà[¦æą[¦^Á[¦ÁT^cæq|ĭ';*ä&æ4Á0E]]|ä&ææã[}•Á[;ÁTæt*}^([@a¦[a^};æq[a&

à DÔ @eeālÁ{[¦ÁT [å^|ā]*Áse} å ÁÛā[`|æeā]} Á[·ÁT ^ cæe|`¦* 38æeþÁÚ![&^••^•ÉÖ^] æelq(^} eq(^`} of[·ÁT ^ cæe|`¦* ÉAT [} cæ)`} ã;^¦•ãeĉ Ê

&DOP:VÒÔUÁ; ^|cā]*Áæ)åÁ&æecā]*Áe^&@9[|[*ǎ*•ÁÕ{ à PÊ

åDÜP QÁT æ* } ^ • ãæ BÉÖ^] æ¦q(^} qÁ(ÁT [å^||ã) * Áse) å ÁÚã(č|æsā[}

-BHFC817H-CB

Ò|^&d;3&Á OEE&Á ~`¦}æ&S^Á QÒOE2DÁ ^¢]^¦?a^}&^åÁ ^¢][}^}cãæ‡Á,[]`|æ÷ãĉ Á;āj&^Á,ā&\āj*Áşiq[Áşiå`•dãæ‡Á {^cæ¢Á]¦[å 8cā]}Áð]Ác@A]æcÁ-^,Áå^8æå^•ÞA Ò/^&d &A; {}; } æ& Ac^&@ [|[*, A• ` à• aa^• A{ [!^A c@ea) ÁGÍ ÁÃ ÁI -Á+ c^^ |Á| | [å * &cāi } Á&* | !^ } d^ ĚÖ^ •] ãc^ Á $c@\dot{A} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1$ ãÁ•đļÁ`[]^¦æé^åÁ ^{] āã&a‡|^Á æ)åÁ]¦[-{`}åÁ \}[,|^å*^Åa,Ác@{[¦^Áæ),åÅå^}æ, æ, æ, Åæ,Ár,ca,lÁr[@A -{ ¦Áà^œ^¦Á^~a&a?} & Áį -Áį] ^ ¦æejį } ĖĖÖ` ^Áį Á́¢d^{ ^Á &[}åãdā]}•Áæe[`}åÁc@Áæe8EÁ}`{ ^¦ã&æe4Á{ [å^||ã * Á]¦[çãã^•Áæe4@|]~|Ád[[|Ád[Á+cčå^Ác@Áà^@æçã]č;|Á[-Á c@ Åæt&Áæt) å Á{^cætÁàæe@Áå`¦āj * Ác@ Á] ¦[&^••ÈÁV@ã Á]æ]^¦Á,¦^•^}œÁœÁ,`{^¦ã&æAÁ,[å^|Á,@ã&@Áã,Áà^ã,*Á ā¦Á&|}cājĭ[ĭ•Áæåçæ);&^{ ^}cÁæ);åÁ&æ);Á•ãjĭ|æe^Á •^ç^¦æÁðų][¦ææ)ø⁄¶@}[{ ^}æÁðj•ãå^ÁœAœè&ÁæèåÁ |ã ĭãåÁ(^œaÁàæc@Á[¦Áà[c@Á+&ã}}cãã&Áæ)åÁājåĭ•dãæAÁ ^}@eeta &^{ ^}dEAA

9`YWFjW5fWGjai`Uhjcb'

V@Á]@•a8aq4Á8[}~at`¦aæaj}Å[-Ác@Á([å^|Á^}cæaj+Á c@Áxe&&Axe}åAserA(a&'](Å'}çal[}{^}džV@Ása[{æajAserA {[å^||^åAæAGÖAætsa'}{{^da8A*^[{^ca8A*^[{^cda8A*^[{^ca8A*^[{^ca8A*^[{^ca8A*^[{^ca8A*^[{^ca8A*^[{^ca8A*^[{}}}}]

V@Á{[å^|Á ã Á àæ•^åÁ[}Á c@Á |[&æ‡Á c@¦{ æ‡Á ^``ājāā¦ã { ÁÇŠVÒDÁæ••`{] cāj} Áāj Ác@ Á&[}cāj``{ Á \^*āj ^Áæ•Áæ4 āj*|^Ëj @æ•^Á&[{] \^••āa|^Á+[] ĔV@Á { [å^|Áã Á•ãj `|æ*^åÁà`Á•[]çāj*Ác@ Á&[}cāj`ãĉ ÉĂ { [{ ^}č { Áæj åÁ^}^** Á^``ææāj} Áāj Áæååãaāj} Ádj Á c@Á āj å *&cāj} Á ^``ææāj} Á q Á •[]ç^A c@ Á ^|^&d[{ æ*}^cã*{ Áæj åÁT PÖÁ^~~ & ce ÉAT [\^[ç^\ÉÂ å`^Áq[Ác@:Á@#T@Áç^|[&ãc£Áč¦à`|^}&^Á^~~^&cÁãrÁ æ&&&[`}c^åÁ{[¦Ác@:[`*@Á6]&|`åā]*Ác@:ÁSË^]•ã[[}ÁQ;Ë DÁcš¦à`|^}&^Á([å^|ĐÁ

V, [ÁÙā[č|ææā[}•Á,^¦^Á]^¦-{|{ ^åÁæx4ơ^{]^¦æcč¦^Á å^]^}å^}ơÁ å^}•ãcấÁ~`}&cā[}Áæa)åÁãå^æ4Á*æeÁ -{¦{č|ææā[}ÈEÁ



Øðt ÁFFÁGÖÁæçãa^{{ ^ d 38AŐ^[{ ^ d^ Áeb & Áe[{ æðj Á

7 cbXiWMjb[`@jei]X`6Uh\`

V@Á&[}å`&d]*Áļã`ābÁàæ@Áā Árāj ` |æc^åAðj ÁæÆGÖÁ æ¢ã* { { ^dã&Á { [å^|Á&] } •ã d] *Á[-ÁæÁ& |ð] å |ã&æ∮Á àæ@Áðj Áåã^&oÁ&[}æ&oÁ ãoÆç [Á^|^&d[å^•ÈÁV@Á &` |!^}oÁ } c^!•Á@Aå[{ æðj Á+[{ Áo@Át]] Åa[` } åæf Á Qt] Á^|^&d[å^DÉæ) å Á^æç^•ÁūÁ+[{ Áo@Át]] Åa[` } åæf Á Qt] Á^|^&d[å^DÉæ) å Á^æç^•ÁūÁ+[{ ÁœÁ { æhÅ[cut { Á ^|^&d[å^ÈOEHA[co@¦Áa] ` } åæfað•Áæ• č { ^åAt[Á à^Á^|^&dãæh] Áðj• č |æc^åÉÁV@Áåãt ^} •ãt] •Át -Áo@Á å[{ æðj Áæ^Acæ ^} Á• č&@Ac@æAc@Alaæāt A[-Ác@Á ^|^&d[å^ÁcæhA as A ^ ||Áæ Ác@Ac[å^At[Ác@Á @ðt @Át -Áo@Áb][{ æðj Áát Át Át ÉÁ

V@Á{[, Áş) • ãå ^ Áx@ Á&] } å * & Gā * Áā * ãa Ási Áx Áx * & á Áse Á æ) Áã [c@ ¦{ æ‡Á{[, ĚÁQ Áæå å ãúā] } Á{ Ác@ Á&[} Gā * ãc ÉĂ Þæçā \ ЁU([\ ^ • ÊA c@ Á ā] å * & Gā] } Á ^ * * æsā] } • Á æ ^ Á • [|ç^ å Á{ [Á] ¦^ å ã& có@ Á '|^ & cl ã& Á& * ; !\^ } c⁄å^ } • ãc Áæ} å Á { æ‡ }^ca3kÁ -a3`|å•ÈÁ Ò--^&cÁ [-Á c@·Á č ¦à` |^} &^Á ã•Á ^•cãį ææ^åÅ, ão@áo@ÁÙÙVÁSĖĘ { ^*æ4([å^|ÈÁ

G`U[[·]UbX[·]@jei]X[·]a YhU[·] **=**a d]b[Ya Ybh

V@Á•āj*|^Ëj@ee•^Á{ [å^|Á`•^åÁq Á•āţ `|æe^Ác@Á ^|^&clā&Áæ&&Áã*Á{ [åãã*åÁq Á•ãţ `|æe^Á{ `|cāj@ee•^Á æ)åÁ c@Áå[{æaājÁã*Á^¢c^}å^åÁå[,} æbå•Áq Á ā]&|`å^Á|æt Áæ)åÁã `ãbÁ ^cæbáææ@eA

F9GI@HG



Øãt ÈÁGÁP^å¦[å^}æ{ a&k/āj•cæaàā¦aãc Á{¦Á āj&[{]¦^••āa\|^Áeoj}åÁ&[{]¦^••āa\|^Á+[],ÈÁ

Q,] [¦ cæ) c⁄A ~~A & c⁄A (ÁÔ[{] ¦^•• ãa đặc (Ă: Áseå å ãða } æ) A ç[| cæ* ^ Ás¦[] ÁQ 28† È D & b à Ás @ A & ã ~ \^ } & A & A & c] | æ) • Á æ/, ![à | ^ { Á cæ: \ à /, !^ çã ` • | ^ Á C & A



Øðt ÉÁHÁOE&ÁX[|cæt ^Á&|[] Á

GD CE;] | ^ ā) * Á & ` ¦ \ ^) cÁ ā) • ãå ^ Á |ã ` ãå Á { ^ cæ þÁ à æ c@ ā) å` & ^ • Áæ) Á^ | ^ & d [Áç[¦ cʰ ¢ Á|[¸ ÁB Áœ Áœè • ^ } & ^ Á[~ { æ * } ^ cã&Áæ | å ĚQ Ác@ Áj ¦ ^ • ^ } & ^ Á[Æ* } ^ cã&Áæ | å åã⊷ ¦ ^ } cÁ • d` & c` ¦ ^ • Á å ^ ç^ |[] Á ā] • ãå ^ Á c@ Á à æ cØK q | } æå[É& & & [] ^ Áæ) å ÁB ç^ ¦ cʰ å Át] ç^ ¦ cʰ å Æ



Øāt ÈĂ ÁØ[, Áåā•dāa`dā;}Áā;•ãa^Á&[}å`&dā;*Á|ã čãaÁ d@ Á(^-o/ቼ•Á][|[ãa aqÁ-[], Áa;)å Áāt @v/ቼ•Áacā; čo@aqÁ-[], ÁÁ HDV @ Á(``|dā;@ae•^Á([å^|Áā;Áaaà|^Ád;Á&]{ àā;^Áæe:c

 $\begin{array}{c} a^{*} = 1 \\ a^{*} = 2 \\ a^{*} = 2$



Øãt ÈÁ ÁH€Á O Éscè &Áã(] ðj * ðj * Á |æ* Áse) å ÁŠã čãa Á ∧ cæ¢Á

7 CB7 @ G=CB 5 B8 CI H@CC?

V@Á{ [å^|Á] !^•^} c^åÁā Áœ Á čå^Á] ![ç^• Á[Áà^Á &æ] æà|^Á[-Á] !^åã &æ] * Ác@ Áà^@æçā[` !Á[-Áå^ cæā/ åÁ æ& Aå^} æ{ ã& ÈA V@ Á æ& A @æ] ^ Á æ) åÁ å^} æ{ ã& Á ā • cæàāfãā * Á v@ Á æ& A • @æ] ^ Á æ) åÁ å^} æ{ ã& Á ā • cæàāfãā * Á v!^A [à•^!ç^å Áā] Á c@ Á • ã[` |ææā] } ÈĂ Ô[{]!^• āaāfãā Á æ]]^ æ• Á d[Á æ) • ^ !Á • [{ ^A O[{]!^çā[`•|^ Á`} æ] • ^ !^å Á` ^• cā] > Á'^* æååā] * Ác@ Á ç[|cæ* ^ Åå![] Áåã-^!^} &^ Áã à^ c ^^} Á^ c ^^} Á^ c] ^!ā[^} cæáÁ æ] å Á&æ& [æ* å Áçæ] ^• Æ

V@Á{ [å^|Á, æ•Áæà|^Á([Á] ¦^å&&aA^|^ÁœA {æ*}^([@å|[å^}æ{&&A] `{]ā}*Aā]•ãaA |ã `ãaA {^œ4Aàæc@Áā}Áæååãaā} À ([Ác@A^~~&AA^¢c^]}æ4A {æ*}^@&AA*(aA{) A^åa^&ca}*Ac@A{[, ĚÁ

V@Á(`|cā]@æ•^Á([å^|Á^}æà|^•Ác,[Ë,æÂ&(`]|ā]*Á [~Á c@Á æ4&Á æ)åÁ ~{^^Á •`|~æ&^Á[-Á|ã`ãaÈĂ V@āA &[}dāa`c^•Á([Á&æ]c`|^Ác@Áæ4&Áã[]ā]*^{ ^}ofæ)åÁ c@Ác@¦{[Ë^|^&da&æ‡A^~~&&c4[}Aa[c@Ác@Áæ4&Áæ]åÁ {[|c^}A(^cæ‡/§)ÁæfÖÔËDOEZEĂ

F9:9F9B79G

- ŽFáÓ[, { æ) ÉÅÓÉÉÁBÁS¦>*^¦ÉÁSÈÁÇG€€JDĚÁŒ&Á~`¦}æ&^]@•&&•ÁÇ]ÈÁ FÏÎËFJ€DĚÁ Ö>••^|å[¦~HÁ X^¦|æ* ٜ@Q^ã^}È
- ŽQá Ü^^}[|å•ÉAÛčā] ÁÕĚAÖ[{]čæatā] æ hát [å^|ā]*Á[~ QE& U|æ*ÁQ,e^¦æ&atā] Áð ÁÖÔÁØč¦}æ& A bằkarut Â. JÈG ÇQEFÏ DAÁHÍFËHÍÏ

H\Y`gh]ff]b['cZaY`hg`]b`95: '

<u>OEÈÔ@å}[ç•\^</u>Ê RÙÔÁŠOE/XUÉÃÕaa) ãa ݩÁÖaa{àã ÁàdÈEÁ HÉAÜðiaaÉÊXF€€ÍÉÊæaçãadÉÁ <u>^ãç-FJO *{aãaÈB{ (</u>Á

-BHFC817H-CB

OEj À |^&d abae|^Ánj å*&^å k§[¦cabaehÁ|[, ÁnjòOx(ØDÉA) æt ^å Áset+ [Á æ) Á^ |^&d[ç[¦c^¢Á+[, ÉAT Ác@ Ánj •^] æbæah|^Á] æbó4 AÓOBØEÁ Y @} Á^ |^&d abaehÁ&` ¦!^} óÁ] æb •^b Ác@[**@ÁæáA{ ^|cEATaEhÁ aj c^ ¦aætor Á ato@ÁsetA+ ^|-Et æt}^cabAATaEháAéa) å Á*^} /\æet•Áæ) Á ^|^&d[{ æt}^cabAát[¦&^A&P|åAt[||[, aj * Ásî ÁCOXØEÆTEBÁÁ

H5 G? ⁻G9 HH=B;

Ù[Éko@ Á^|^&d[{ æt}}^ca&Á{[¦&^Áæ]|å ÁæiÁ&æ‡& |æc^åAQ; |Á å^•&¦āā^å Á*¢æ&dî DÁ; !^|ā[ā] ætî Áæj å Á*¢] ^&c*å Á; æcc*!} Á; Á ÒOX(Ø ÁæiÁ*¢æ&dî DÁ; !^|ā[ā] ætî Áæj å Á*¢] ^&c*å Å; æcc*!} Á; Á `OX(Ø ÁæiÁ*¢æ&dî DÁ; !~|ã `@•a&æ‡Á[! Á;` { ^|ã&æ‡Á[[å^||ā] * Áæj å Áæ¢ç^|[&ãcî Áæi |å Á#c &@ &\^å Á*¢] ^|ā] ^} cæ‡|î Åaî A Æai !^Ë] ca&æ‡Á*^} •[! Á[!Áàî Á c@ !{ [Ë&[!!^|ææ]] } Á*^} •[!ÉAV@ Á*[ç^!} ā] * Áç^|[&ãcî Áā] Á `OX(Ø Æi Áa^ å Å* ^ å Åaî A*æ] !^= ā] ÁÁ

$$V_0 = const * \frac{l}{L} * \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\rho}} \qquad (1)$$

Y @ $|^{A}=$ Aā Ad $(a \neq A \otimes ||^{A}) d$ ASA. A& $(a \neq a \otimes a \wedge | A \bullet a \wedge A \oplus \mu_{0})$. magnetic constant.

87 '95: 'K + K< '5L-GMAA9HF=7 '71 FF9BH'GI DD@M'

Y @}Ác@ÁÒOXØÁ@ e ÁæÁ]æev¦}Á[-Áæ}Áæ¢ã^{{ < dæA d[:[ãaæ4¢[:|cv¢Év@}Å;^æ±Ëæ¢ã Ávó kā Áåå^sc^^^}Á æ&Ëţ ^|cÁ&]}ææka Çæ±ã • ÁÜ⊧DÁd[ÁæAà] cut{ { Á^|^&d[å^A Çæ±ã • ÁÜdDĚd]}ÁæA;^^A`;-æ&^Ác@Á4[, Æ*A&[}ç^!*∄ *Át Aæ±ã ÊA[Á@A;^|cA]]^!ÁæA*Ác@Á4[, Æ*A&[}ç^!*∄ *Át æ±åãã‡] • A] / Tavéc ^A[; [ç] * Åt] ^ Léw æ*å&î A2 de A æ±åãā‡] • A] / Tavéc ^A[; [ç] * Åt] ^ Léw æ*å&î A2 de A Aæt A * ':-æ&^ÉA Ø EFA • Q, • ÁæA • &@{ ^ Áæ} åAæA] Q d A { ^: Tata] æ4ÔOXØA&[•• E ^&ca] }É



Øði ÈÁFÁÖQX,ØÁ§IÁ{|{ Áŗ-Áɣ[|[ãá æ†Áş[|♂¢,ÁkæÐáæÁA,&@{{ ^ Ár-ÁæÁ { ^ ¦ãá ãį} æ†Á |æ] ^ LÁa DÁ¼ ^ ¦ãá ãį } æ†Á&[[••Ë=^&cã;] AÉŽE ÉA

Tæçā[` { Áç^|[& & ãc ÁX∈A]; Át[¦[āāæļÁç[¦c∿¢Á^¢āro•Á[} Ác@ Á æçār Áşi Ác@ Á[āāå|^Á]; Ásæc@Ás^]c@ ŽQ ÁŢEDxA&[}•cÁWÓÔÁ√MÊ @ \^A T Á MÁ QFÁ ĚÁ\ ℃DÁ ĐÁ\ ^CÁār Áa); Áāj c^*¦æļÁ ⊣ĭ¢Á[-Á ^|^& d[{ a±}}^c3&ác Áç^& d[¦Ác@[`*@/áæÁ(^¦ãa ā]} æjÁ]|æ) ^ ĚÁ ÁWÁÜ⊧ÁnAÜ cÁse); ábô Á ÁEÊ! ÁsrásáA; 3& [^~a38a]; dĚÁ

HK = B!9 @97 HF C89G'95:

ÒOBZÁ, ār@Ác; [Á*¦æ];@ār Á^ļ^&d[å^•Á&æ);Áà^Áč ¦}^åÁ[}Á ^|^&d;ā&æļî^Áà^Ác; [Á, æê•ká, @} Áà[c@Á^|^&d[å^•Áæ4 &ææ@,å^•Áæ;àAæ); á^Áæ;Áæ4à`ādē [¦Áa*ÁaāāækÁ&@{ ^ĔV@ÁOQXØAj;Áæ4ā•c%æe~/ār,Á&[e~Á§;Á [¦Áa*ÁaāāækÁ&@{ ^EV@ÁOQXØAj;Áæ4ā•c%æe~/ār,Á&[e~Á§;Á []āaæļķ[¦c*¢Á;ār@Áa[`à]^åÁ@]]āDA`]]^¦Á|^&d[å^ÈV@Á OQXØA`}å^!&aāaækÆa`A[[\]ā*Áā^Áş[[Áaāç^!*ā]*Á\`āaAb*o®ÉA Øā ÈE£æ£XE7££E4Ø[], Á&ā&šão Á}^æAá~Áş[[dī{ { Á@æ~Áæ4[}{ { Á}!A ç [Á]aāa•Á; Áa]æ}^Á@¦ā[}æ4Á2[`ac¢~•É2E4E4U];Áæ]A[]^!Á çā`,Á{[, •Áæ4^Á8[}ç^!*ā]*Á§



Ø∄ ÈĞĞÂQXØÁ,^ælÁsāājælÁseDÁşiÁ(,^lãsāji}æk/á,læj^ÊŽEđÁL[,Á •&@{ ^•Aj}Á!^^ÁÇaDÁs)åÁ,^ælÁs[@[{ ÁÇ&DÁx`¦~æ&^•ÊŽEđĚA

 $\begin{array}{c} V @ \acute{A} \phi cae \] |^{A} \acute{A} \cdot \acute{A} @ \bullet a cae \acute{A} \ [a^{||} a * \acute{A} || a * \acute{A} \ [\dot{A} a cae \acute{A} \dot{A} a cae \acute{A} \]^{A} \acute{A} \\ \bullet \ \dot{a} \ (\ ^{l *} \ ^{a} \acute{A} |^{A} e cae \acute{A} \)^{A} \acute{A} \\ \end{array}$

' !D< 5 G9 G⁻9 5 :

 $\begin{array}{l} & \forall @ \hat{\mathbf{A}} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} & = \widehat{\mathbf{A}} \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{A}} & = \widehat{\mathbf{A}} \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{A}} \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{A}} & = \widehat{\mathbf{A}} \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{A}} \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{A}} \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{A}} \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{A}} & = \widehat{\mathbf{A}} \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{A}} \otimes$



Ù ഁ&@ ∲ &@ { ^Á[-Á[^|oÁ•cā¦ā] * Áā Á] [óA ~^ &cā;^ÈW * `æ|^ Á æååāā] } æ|Á { ^|oÁ] ¦[& • • ā] * Áā] ÁæÁ|æå|^Áā: Á¦^ ˘ ǎ^àÈÁ •[{ ^cā[^• Á] āc@ أحد åäā] } æ)Á(cā¦ā] * ÈÁ

H<9'GHFFB; 'B'87'95:'IB; '

V@\^Áe&^Áe&é&^}dæ‡Á`]]^\!Á'¦æ] @oscÁr|^&d[å^Á, ão@áe&&Á æ)åÁ[}^Á[¦Áæ)^Áà`ã¦däjÁà[cq[{ Á ^|^&d[å^•ÈĂ ODÁ &[}•d`&dā]}Áæ][],•Á{ æ)^Áçæ3āe) coÁ[-ÁÒOX(ØÁ]æec^\}Á []*æ)ã ā]*ÊÁ'@^Á^•`|Øå^]^}å•Á]}Å|^&d[å^A;]æ&A{ ^}c æ)åÁåãææ) &^•Áà^ç,^^}Ác@{ ÊÅ[[_, Áç[|æe*^Áà*•àæA [[&æeā]}•ÊÁæáåãàæ;æ) &^Aà^ç,^^}Á^|^&d[å^qÁq[œe;Á &`;\]^}cÁæjåA;c@:¦Á&[}•d`&œã;^Ár|^{ ^}cA;'}æ&CÉÁ

$$\begin{split} \dot{U}[\{ \land \dot{A} \ \varsigma \land \land \land \dot{A} \ \bullet] \land \& \Tilde{A} \ Barrow A \ State \ State A \ State A \ State A \ State A \ State \ Stat$$



F9GI @HG

Y @eeeÁãi Áæá*[[åÁ•cāl¦ā]*Á•^•c^{ ÑÁ. ÁV@·l^Á{ *•cÁà^Á @{{[*^}^[`•Á{ ^|cÁ{ 38k][•d`&c`l^Á, ão@`cÁ`}, æ}c^åÁ { 38k[[^|^{ ^} o Á Qã ^Á•`|~`lÉA-{l'Á^¢æ{]|^DÁæ}åÁ, ão@Á] ¦[c^&cā] }•Á[-Á*] æ&∧Ájājā]*Áæ}åÁ%[cī[{ Á*|^&c[å^•ÈÁ

V@cen/aiAj[••āa|^ÉAj@}Á@Aidālāj*Ájaecc\}Á&[}eāoAjÁcakiāj*Ájaecc\}Á&[}eāoAjÁcakiāj*Á { ^|oÁseāj`c@cepÁ[caecāj}Ásel[`}åAiaec@AsecāiAsejåAs@Ajãcāj*Á [-Á\[caecāj*Á|aê^\•Áà^c, ^^}Ác@{ •^|ç^•Áà^Ád[![ãaedAjác c[\c^¢^•ÁÇ}å^\Ác@Áase&ÁaejåÁ[ç^\Á^ase&@A`a]cq[{ ^|^&d[å^•DDA/@}Ásc@\^ÁseA^Aj[Ácaeaa|^Ëāā^&ccåÁ@;oAse a) åÁ[}^•Á ão@; ŏÁrodiāj*ÈÁOEHÁc@•^ÁA[×] ã^{ ^}or Áæd^Á ¦^a¢a ^åÁajÁÖÓÁÒOEÐÁNÞŐÈÁXædā[`•ÁÒQXØÁ]ææc^¦}•Á, ^¦^Á āj ç^•cātæz^åÁ -ā•q^ ^c]^¦ã[^}cæahîÁ à]@•a&æahÁ {[å^||ā]*Á aa) åÁ c@}} Á &[}-ā{ ^åA à }`{ ^}a&eahÂ &aæb&`|ææāi}•ÈÆØajæahî^Ár^|^&c^åA`Ai} `{ ^}a&eahÂ &æab&`|ææāi} •ÈÆØajæahî^Ár^|^&c^åA`Ai} æài[` oÁH€Áajå`•dãædAÖÔÁÖOEÐÁNÞÕÈÁ

7 CB7 @ G=CB 5 B8 CI H@CC?

 $\begin{array}{l} \dot{AOEA} \wedge , \dot{A} @ \bullet a Bach \dot{A} [a \wedge || \ddot{a} * \dot{A} ach [| a e \dot{A}] & \dot{A} & \dot{A$

Þ[c^Áæ] [ÉÁc@æeÁ{æ}^Áā] [|'æ) óĂ ~ • cā] • Á, ^!^Á} [óÁ å^• &!āa^å/ð Ác@• ^ Áç [Á] æ* • Áæè • dæ&džÓE [] * Ác@ { Á c@!^Áæ}^Áæ} Áa c'!} æh e č &c '!^Á["Áç^|[&ãc Áæ] å Áa Áæá d ![ãa æh ç[!c^¢ÉA• cã] æeā] } Ác@ Á3 + ` ^ } & • Á["Ác@ ! { [Ë &[} ç^&aā] } Áe ã] ~ c@eh (aæā] } É&@ Aa + ` ^ } & • Á["Ác@ ! { [Ë &[} ç^&aā] } Áe ã] ~ c@eh (aæā] } É&@ Aa + ` ^ } & • Á["Ác@ ! { [Ë &[} ç^&aā] } Áe ã] ~ c@eh (aæā] } É&@ Aa + ` ^ } & • Á["Áaæcá *^[{ ^ d^ A] } Áç^|[&ãc Áçæ] ~ e É ×æ a É Ö Á + ` ^ & & A ~a | å • Áæ] ` } å Å ||^ Á ` à { ^! * ^ à Á | ^ & e É aa c'! æ&aa] Å , Á ~a | å • Áæ] ` } å Å ||^ Á ` à { ^! * ^ à Á | & o É a * Č & a * Č & aa (a *) * ~a | å • Áæ] ` } å Å ||^ Á ` à { ^! * ^ à Á | & o É a * Å & A * Å Aa *] å • Áæ] ` } å Å ||^ Á ` à { ^! * ^ à Á | & o É a * Å & A * Å Aa *] å • Áæ] ` } å Å ||^ Á ` à { ^! * ^ à Á | & o É A & A * Å Aa *] å • Áæ] ` } å Å * ` à { ^! * ^ à Á & o É A & A * Å & A * Å A *] a * Åæ a * A & A * Å A *] a * Åæ a * A & A * Å & A * Å * Å & A * Å & A * Å * Å & A * & A *

57?BCK @98; 9ABH

F9:9F9B79G

- ŽFá X ÈÁÓU ROEÜÒX CÔP ÙÁa) å Áa¢a|ÈÁÒ|^& cłaša¢|Â á å & & å ç[¦caša¢Á¦[, ●ÈÁÔ|`, ^¦ÁOB3aå^{ abé Abè [Â+C]] ¦ā) * ^¦ Þ^c@;¦a) å•ÉAFJÌJĚÁ €€Á,È
- ŽCá XĚAT CEŠOD-UXÙSŸĚAN}ãç^¦∙æ4Á,^¢cA*^}^¦æaā[}Ásiãi^&c &覦^}cÁæ3&Á~č¦}æ&A∿•ÈAQ,åč•dãæ6ÈAG€€ÉÉÉA FB+UÉAÇãj Üč••ãab;D
- ✓Žá OEEÁ ÔPWÖÞUXÙSŸÁ æ)åÁ æ|EÁ Qiç^•cātææāt} Á [~ ^|^&d[ç[¦c^¢Á,]], •Á,ãc@Á{`|cāÁ^|^&d[å^•Á]], ^¦ •´]] ÅÉĀT æt} / qf@å|[å^}æt Æ Æ Æ Æ Æ Æ Æ Æ Æ

9 I dYf]a YbHJ `Ghi XmUbX`7 : 8 `A cXY`]b[`cZGWUd`AY`h]b[`6 Y\ Uj]ci f`]b`57`95 : `

<u>Ÿ`&@@#{ÁÔ@}</u>ªªÊÁÙd^ç^ÁÜ^a) àªÊÁŒ{ (∄ÁSÈÁÙã) a#ÊÁÔ@}}ÁÛÈÁZ@, *ªÁ a£DÔ^}d^¦Á[¦ÁQ}[çææā]}Áv@[`*@ÁXãr`adpã aœā]}Ása) åÂÙã[`|ææā]}ÁÇÔQXÙDÊ Ú`¦å`^ÁW}ãç^!•ãcÂ=[¦c@_^•dÊACE€ÆTÎJc@ÁÙd^^dÊAPae{ {[}åÊADPÁÎHGH Ú@]}^KACEFJËĴÌJËGÎÎÍÊAÔ{ æā]KAS:@[`O]}, È*å`Á àDÞŠTSÁQJåãaa) æ ÎÍ €€ÂÙÁÔ[`}åæ^ÁÜåÊÁU[¦cæ*^ÊADPÁÎHÌÌÊAWÙOEÁ

-BHFC8I7H-CB

. V@^Á] ¦^•^} ơÁ •č å^Á ^•œaàlãa @•åÁ æÁ }^. Á c@_^^Ë åã[^}•ã[}æ\$Á&{[{]¦^@}}•ãc^Á{[[å^|Áàæ•^åÁ[]}Ác@•Á 8[{] `cæaā]}æ4Á-]`ãåÁå^}æ{ã&•ÁÇÔØÖDÁq[Á•ã[`|æ&Ac@A •[]ãåЁã ˘ãåЁ`æ Á•^•¢{ Áā]Ác@ÁÓO20Á•&¦æ]A{{^|cā]*Á] [†][&^•• ÈÁV @ Á• [|ãã Á• & læ] Á, æ Ád^æs^åÁæ ÁæÁ] [¦[^{*}• Á { ^åã { Ác@æxÁ^} æi|^• Ác@ Á] ¦^å&33cāt } Á[Ác@ Á]ã * ãå Áæ) å Á *æ•Áļ^¦{^æaā[}Áæ)åÁç@^Á6jc^¦æ&cā[}•Áà^ç^^}Áj@æ•़^•ÈĂ V@Á¦^] [¦ơ åÁ•č å Ấ Á́ [& •^åÁ[} Áæ&@ð çā * Áœ Áæ&A { ^ | cāj * Ă• ãj ` | æaāj } ḖAj Ă , @38.@Áo@ Á} ` { ^ | 38.æļÁæ];] | [æ&@Á àæ•^åÁ[}Ác@·Á@·æaÁæ)åÁ[æ••Áclæ)•-^¦Ájæ•Áæ]]|&†åÅA[Á &æ];č¦^Ác@`Á|[&æ‡Á•[|ããÁ•&¦æ];Á{^|c3];*Áæ);åÁc@`Á|ãĭăãÁ • c^^ | Á' ^ Ё [| ãããã& ceají } Ě Ó J; Áce & Á{ [å` | ^ Á ze Áj; d [å` & ^ å Á æ) å Á&[č] |^ å Áðj ([Ác@ Á([å^|Ádj Áæ&@a ç^ Ác@ Á'^æ)træjtræj ^ Á ^•cā[æaā]}Á[-Ác@ Ác[cæ‡Áæ3&Á][^ \¦Áå^|āç^¦^Áå^] ^}å^}oÁ [}Ác@ Áæ3&Á&` ¦!^}oÁæ) åÁæ3&Á^}*o@ĚQ Áæååãā[}ĒÉæ∱[ç^|Á { ^ c@ a [[[* ^ Á, æ Á] | [] [• ^ a Á d [Áa ^ } æ { 38 æ [` Á ð c ^ * | æ A c@^ÁT[} c^ÁÔæl|[Á; ^c@] åÁ; ãc@ĺÔØÖÁ[Á;l^å ã&ok@ Á @æl^Á [-Áæb&Ál/æbåãæeātī]) Á-[¦Á^ç^¦^ Á&] {] ĭ cæeātī] } æþÁ&^||Á[} Ác@A •&¦æ];Á•*`¦æ&A^ÉÅ,æ|ÉÆæ);åÁ'[[~Áàæ•^åÁ'[}Ác@∘Á^|^&c[å^Á àa) ẳÁā[〕 |^{{ ^} { ^} c^à Å j Á ÞŠT ŚAFÍ €ĒĘĮ Ì ÁÒÓE⊘Á Į Á&Į ||^& Á Óc@ Á }^&^••æ^^ÁåæææÁ~{¦Ác@^Á{ [å^]Áçæ†ããææã[}ÈÁOÆÁ*[[åÁ ĺæť¦^^{^}oÁ, æ∘Á{[^{*}}åÁà^c, ^^}Ác@^{*}Áŕā(^{*})ĺæáāį}Á/^•^{*}ĺo∙Á æ) åÁc@ Áðj å`•d ãæ þÁåæææ ÉÁV @ Áå^ç^|[]^åÁ{ [å^|Á ,æ Á æå[] c^åÁ(Į Áçã č æ)á ^ Ác@ Á & æ) Á) á ^ Á Å ¦ [~ á^ Áåč ¦ ā ' * Ác@ Á { ^ | cā; * Á; | Ác@ Á`; | c@ | Á; | ^ å & cā; } Á; -Ác@ Á; [c^ } cãæ; Á & l æ; Á &æç^Êa,ÊAT[¦^[ç^¦ÊAc@^Àæe¦&A'æaåãæeena]}Àåãe:dãačofa[}Ài;c^¦A cāi ^Áæ) åÁc@ Á¦ã ˘ãâÁ; c^^|Á/^Ë[|ãããã&ææã[} Á] @}[{ ^}[} A , æe Ása†•[Ása)æ (*:^å Á§iÁs@>Á,¦^•^} o Á čå ˆÉĂ

89G; B'C: 'G7F5D'A9@HB; '9LD9F=A9BH'

, ãt@Á c@Á &[!!^•][}åā]*Á !^æ‡Ëcā[^Á åæaææÁ !^&[¦åā]*ÈĂ W}å^!Ác@Á•æ{ ^Á~`}}æ&^Á[]^!ææā]*Á&[}åãtā]}•ÊÁc@Á æç^!æª^Áåã-^!^}&^Áã Áœà[`oÁJÈJà Á[¦Ác@Á&]{]ætā[}Á à^ç ^^}Á c@Á { ^æe`!^åA]ãtÁ åãæ{ ^c^!•A , ãt@Á c@Á •ã[`]ætā[}ÁA^•`|c•Á[àcæājÁ![{ Ác@Á]!^•^}oÁ][å^|ÊÁe}åA c@Á æç^!æª^Á åã-^!^}&^A ãtÁ âæà[`oÁ HÈLà Á -{!Á c@Á &[{]ætā[}Áà^ç ^^}Ác@Á*ã (àæà[`oÁ HÈLÃ Á -{!Á c@Á &[{]ætā[}Áà^ç ^^}Ác@Á*ã (àæà[`oÁ HÈLÃ Á -{]!Á c@Á &[{]ætā[}Áà^ç ^^}Ác@Á*ã (àã*A^&]]



Øāt ` \^ ÁF È ÁP ŠT SÁÙ& ¦ æ]; ÁT ^ | @; * Á Ô¢] ^ ¦ ã; ^} o Á

G7F5D'A9@H=B; '69<5J=CIF'

Øãtč¦^ÁGÁ;∥orÁæÁ•^¦ã∿•Á;~Á^•č|orÁ¢;Á•@?,Ác@∘Á;^|cā;*Á] ¦[&^••Á[-Á c@ Á•&¦æ] Á] ā^Áā Ád ãæ)Á ÀFÁ å` ¦ā * Ác@ Á ^|^&d[å^Áà[¦^Ёå[, } Á] @ee ^ĚÁQÁ&æ) Áà^Á+^^} Áo@eeÁo@ Á çã đã ất Á Á @^^ Á | ^ &d [å ^ • Á ā • Á ā • Á (^ | c^ å Á f Á f ¦ { Á @ ^ ^ Á ٨ُ٣٠ & d [å^Á] ﷺ كَلَّ ٧ @ Á Jã ̆ ﷺ À • ๙ ٨ُ A jã ٽَ ۿ A • ๙ ٨ُ A jã ـ Å ٥ @ Á Jã &[}cāj`[`•|^ Á^ ā^\å• Áão Á@ æcÁq Ác@ Á&[|å Á• & a]; Á] ā^&^ Á æ) åÁ*æ Áå`¦ðj * Ác@ Áå¦ðj] ðj * Á] ¦[&^•• ĔÁQÁc@ Á|ã `ãåÁ]@æ•^Áơ^{]^¦æč`¦^ÁąĨÁæÁ&[{]`cæcā[}æÁ&^\|/ÁãiÁd[[Á[[, Á d Á{ ænā cænā Áão•Á lã ĭãã Á• cæno∿ÉÅ c@•Á lã ĭãã Á{ æ••Ð }^!*^Á dæ)•~\'Át Át@ Át [laåÁ] @æ•^Á āllÁa^Átā*^\'^åÁt Átā`` læe^Á c@`Á•[|ãããã&æaā[}}Á]¦[&^••ÈÄV@`Á•ã[`|æaā]}Á^•`|œÁ懕[Á ¦^ç^æ‡Ác@æeÁc@^ÁãĭăâÁrc^^|Áå[^•Á;[c4åā^&d^Áå¦ā]ÁfÁc@A à[ɑː { Á[-Á ɑ@ Á~ ; } æ& ÈÁ W} å^ ; Á ɑ@ Á &[{] ; ^ @ } • ãç^ Á ^~~^&cA[~Á^¢c^\}æ¢Á~{\&^Áæ}åÁ@\æcá^¢&@ee)*^ÈÁc@ÓA å¦āj]āj*Áæ);åÁ¦^Ё•[|ãããã&ææã[}}Á]¦[&^∙•Á[~Á|ã ˘ãåÁ•♂^|Á č•čæ∥^Á[&&覕Á,ão@3)Áæà[čoÁ€ÈÉÁ{Á•cæ¦cā)*Á⊹[{Ác@∘Á à[cq[{ Á [-Á c@•Á ^|^&cl[å^Á]ãdÈĂ Öč ¦ā] * Á c@•Á ^}cā^A ^|^&d[å^Ëå[,}}Áj@ee•^Êk@^Áã~ãâÁ;d^^|Áj#Å}å^*[Á@A { ^ | d= [| 3ã ã ã 8 æ 1] Á& & ^ 4 • ^ c^ | æ 1 Á æ 1 Å æ 1 Å æ 1 Å æ 1 Å æ 1 Å æ 1 Å æ 1 Å æ 1 Å æ 1 Å æ 1 Å å^}•ãĉĂ[-Ác@Ă•&¦æļÁ]ā^Á{ æÂā,&¦^æ^Áæ•A $^{\infty}$ & d[a^ Áa[$^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ Åa $\stackrel{()}{\to}$ $^{\circ}$ $\stackrel{()}{\to}$ $\stackrel{()}{\to}$ • ^ & cāi } ĖÁY @ } Ác@ Á^ | ^ & d [å ^ • Á* ^ cÁ& [• ^ Át Ác@ Áa [cd { Á [-Ác@ Á` |} æ&^ É&@ Áã` ãà Á c^^|A* æ@ ¦• Á ^æ Ác@ Áç &&ã ãc Á [-Á^|^&d[å^∙Áq[Á-{¦{ÁæÁ{[[d^}}Á][[|ÉÁc@e¦^à^Á

ã[{ ^¦•ā] * Ác@ Á•[|ãā Á•&¦æ]; Á]ā^&^•Á{ ^æ}, @a]^Á{ ^|cā] * Á c@ { Á5j Ás@ Ásæe@AÁ



Øāt`¦^ÁGĐÁÙ&¦æ];ÁT^|cāj*ÁÓ^@æçāj`¦ĐÁ

5F7 F58=5H=CB 81 F=B; '9 @97 HFC89 6 CF9!=B'

Øãi či^ÁHÁ•@Q, •Ác@∘Áåãicãa čãa čãa čãa šá (√Ác@∘Áæs&Á'æåãææãa)}Á •@æh^Á(}Ás@ Áči}æ&∧Á,æa∥Áæs)åÁ[[-ĚÁ



ØÊT ` \^Á+HĂQE&ÁÜæåãæeā[} } Áå` \?ā * ÁÒ|^&d[å^ÁÓ[\^ËQ HĂ

O£Ác@^Áà^*∄}∄*Á[-Ác@∘Á^|^&d[å^Áà[¦^Ëå[,}}Á] @æe^ÉÁ c@Áæ8&ÆA*(||^Á^¢][•^åÁ{[Ác@Á+^^à[æåÁ^*ā]}Áæà[ç^Á c@ Á• & a] Á] Ĩ^ ĚĂŬĨ &^ Ác@ Áæ} & Áã Á& [• ^ ¦ Áď Ác@ Á• & a] Á •`¦æ&^ÊſŦæ*^Áæ{[`}}oA[~Á!æåäõõõõ^Á@æAãÁ•œ‡|Á æè•[¦à^åAs`A©A&¦æ}A`¦æ&^A`}A@A`¦A@A`|A@@A`}A OĘ[c@e¦Áæà[čÁG€ÃÁ[Ác@eÁq[æaqÁæb&Á¦æåãææqã]}ÁãAÁ åãadãa`c^åA{;}Áo@A`;¦}æ&∧Á,æ||Áæ);åÁ[[~ÈÁ/@^Á:d[}*^∙oÁ ¦^* ā[} Á[-Ác@^^^Á^|^&d[å^• ÊÁ-[||[, ^åÁà^ Ác@ Á~` ¦} æ&^Á _ æl¦Á[&æe^åÁ] } Ác@^Á æf_^Á^ç^|Áæ Ác@ Áæl&ĔO≣ Ác@ Áæl&Á *¦æåĭæ||^Áà[¦^•Áậq[Ác@Á•&¦æ]Á]ā^ÊA]æcÁ[Ác@Áæ&A ¦æåãææãį;}Á);¦^çãįĭ•|^Áæà∙[¦à^åẢà^Ác@∘Á~`|}æ&∿Á, æ∥ÁãrÁ $a = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$ ¦æåãæcāi}}Ád;Ác@?Á~`¦}æ&?^Á,æl¦Áå^&¦^æ?••Á•ãt}ãã&æ);d^ÈĂ Y@}Ác@\^ÁaŧÁ;[Á[]}*^\Áe)^Áçã+ãaā‡ãĉÁà^c;^^}Ác@Áee\&Á æ) å Ác@ Áč ¦} æ&^ Á, æ|Êc@ Á @ed^ Á / Ácd& Á æå ãæca i } Át Ác@ Á ~`;}æ&^Á,æ|Á'^å`&^•ÁqiÁ'^;[ÈAOEe^;,æ¦åÊAj}`}|^Ájæ¦oAj,~Á c@^Á~` ¦} æ&^Á[[[-Áæà[ç^Ác@^Á^|^&d[å^Á] ão Á&æ} Á\^&^ãç^Á c@ Áæs&Á'æåaææaā;}Ác@[`*@Ác@ Á] ãrÁ[]^}ā;+ÈÁY ãr@Ác@ Á āj&i^æ•^Á(-Ás@ Ásiār æaj&^Ás^ç_^}Á@ Á*|^&d[å^Áæ]áæjåÁ c@ Á*¦}æ&^Á[[-Éás@ Á:@æ4^Á(-Áse&&Aíæåāææā]}Á;}Ás@ Á[[-Á *¦æå`æ|^Áå^&¦^æ•^•Áæ)åÁ^ç^}čæ|^Á{ æaj ææaj•ÁæsÁæá ç^¦^Á[_Á^ç^]ÈÁ

@EI =8 'GH99 @F9!GC @8 = =7 5 H=C B'

V@-Áå¦āj]āj*Áļã ĭãâÁ•rơ^^|Á•rcāļÁ@æe Ác@-Áj[ơ^}cãæ‡Áq[Á'^Ë •[|ããã^Áå*^Áq[Ác@Á@ædÁ^¢&@æ)*^Á, ão@Ác@Á^¢c^\}æ)A ^}çã[}{ ^}dŽdã `¦^ÁÁ@; •Á@Áçæiãæaāi}Á;ÁœÓÁ&'æiÁ $c[]^{*}$ { ^Å+ $asca_{1}$ } A ; $c^{+}Aca_{1}$ ^ $EAQAc@^{A}a_{2}$ acadeA ; A ; ca_{2} * A ; ca_{2} * A[ĂœĂ & a] Ă ā^Ĕć@Ăã ăĂ c^^|Á&a) Ă[] Ă[; } Ă[¦ÁæÁ ¦^|æeãç^|^Á|[}*Áåãicæ);&^Á*}cãiÁãcÁ∙[|ãåãã?•ÊÁ, @3&@ÁãiÁ { ænā | ^ Áa` ^ Áq Ác@ Á` } ãi | { Ái [¦ [• ãc Ăa`ā chāa` caī } Áa Ác@ Á] ật^ Á (Ç@) Á• æ (^ Ác`] ^ Á [~Á• & 'a a) DÁæ) å Ác@) Á• æ (^ Á ā) ã ĩãe dÁ æ{àð}}óÁc^{]^¦æč¦^ÁQH∈€ÁSDĎÁV@^Á•[|ãããã&ææ‡i}}Á[-Á |ã ˘ãā Á• c^^|Á(zê Á[&& ʿ ¦Áā] Á[} ^Á[¦Á([¦^Á&[{] čæzā] } æþÁ &^||•Áæqi]}*ÁserÁd;æç^|Á;æc@Á,@3&@Á^•č|@7ÁsiÁs@?Ási&'^æ^Á [-Ác@ Á• & a] Áç[| { ^ Á+ a3cā] } Áa) å Ác@ Á8[| | ^ •] [} å ā] * Á å^&¦^æ^Á[,Ác@;Á] [¦ [•ãĉ ĚOĒ Ác@;Á] [¦ [•ãĉ Áå^&¦^æ^•ÊA c@Ajã ă âjA+c^^|A+[],]] * Ac@[* @Ac@[•^AjA*]] • Aja A[[|^A [à•d * &c^åÉA ~ |c@|A |^• * |c]] * Aja A c@ A &[||^•] [} å]] * Aj ľ^ąj-{¦&^{ ^}oÁ[-Á c@ Á¦^Ё[|ãů ấa&aæaį}) Á][••āù ằáč Á ąj Á • ((a) ^ a A a) * ^ A (A) AF A (^ c + A) ((, A) A) ((c@•^Á^*ā;}•Á^^]•Á^å`&ā*ĖĂ



 \emptyset āt ` |^Á k ÈŠāt ` ā ÁÙ c^^ |ÁÜ^ËÙ[|ã a a a a a a f

7 CB7 @ G=CB 5 B8 CI H@CC?

 $\begin{array}{l} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \begin{array}{l} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \begin{array}{l} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \begin{array}{l} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \begin{array}{l} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \begin{array}{l} & \end{array}{} & \end{array}$

Session IV

An evaluation of the pneumatic lime injection benefits for the production of C82D2 steel by electric arc furnace D. Mombelli, G. Dall'Osto, G. Villa, C. Mapelli, S. Barella, A. Gruttadauria, L. Angelini, C. Senes, M. Bersani, P. Frittella, R. Moreschi, R. Marras, G. Bruletti

Static dissolution evaluation of dolomite-based materials in EAF-type slag S. Scheiber, E. Cheremisina, J. Rieger, J. Schenk, F. Firsbach, W. Johnson, T. Chopin, M. Nispel

Achieving a new level of process efficiency in EAF steelmaking with sample preparation free slag analysis based on Laser OES

A. Schlemminger

Optical emission spectroscopy in electric arc furnaces and ladle furnaces – from laboratory to industrial applications

H. Pauna, M. Aula, M. Huttula, T. Fabritius

AN EVALUATION OF THE PNEUMATIC LIME INJECTION BENEFITS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF C82D2 STEEL BY ELECTRIC ARC FURNACE

D. M belli ^{a)}, <u>G. Dall'Osto</u> ^{a)}, G. Villa ^{a)}, C. Mapelli ^{a)}, S. Barella ^{a)}, A. Gruttadauria ^{a)} L. Angelini ^{b)}, C. Senes ^{b)}, M. Bersani ^{b)}, P. Frittella ^{b)}, R. Moreschi ^{c)}, R. Marras ^{c)}, G. Bruletti ^{c)}

a) Politecnico di Milano, Dipartimento di Meccanica, Via La Masa 1, 20156 Milano, Italy

b) Feralpi Holding S.p.A., Via Carlo Nicola Pasini 11, 25017 Lonato del Garda (BS), Italy

c) nicalce S.p.A., Via Ponti 18, 24012 Val Brembilla (BG), Italy

gianluca.dallosto@polimi.it

INTRODUCTION

The pneumatic lime injection during the Electric Arc Furnace (EAF) process by insufflation lances mounted on the furnace walls has gained much interest in the latest years [1]. The main advantages, in comparison to the traditional procedure of lime lumps addition within the scrap bucket, can be summarized in raw materials consumption reduction, foaming benefits, operational cost benefits and improvement in environmental aspects [1,2].

In the proposed work, the advantages of a new lime injection system developed by Unicalce S.p.A. and installed on a 90 t EAF of Acciaierie di Calvisano are analyzed.

PROCEDURES AND DATA COLLECTION

Two different injection procedures labelled INJ1 and INJ2 were used and compared to the traditional practice (STD). In particular, INJ1 procedure used 1 ton of lime less than STD one, whereas INJ2 800 kg less.

Data from more than 600 heats were acquired in order to evaluate the benefits lead by the injection procedures to the electrical, oxygen, methane and lime consumptions for the production of special steels, in particular C82D2 grade.

Statistical analysis has been performed to compare the different procedures by means of the Tukey's method [3] throughout the software MINITAB[®]. Moreover, the environmental impact was investigated analyzing the Tons of Oil Equivalent (TOE) and CO₂ emission reduction, for electrical and CH₄ savings.

SLAG SAMPLING

The benefits on the slag foamability were evaluated, too. By knowing the chemical composition of the sampled slag, the Isothermal Solubility Diagrams (ISD) were calculated according to the Pretorious's model [4,5]. The ISDs were then validated by comparing the Total Harmonic Distortion (THD) of the corresponding heat [6].

RESULTS

The injection procedures allowed to achieve a saving of about 1 ton of CaO, although this significative reduction, an enhancing of the dephosphorizing effect was observed thanks to the faster dissolution kinetics of the injected lime powder in comparison with the standard lime in lumps addition [7,8].

As can be noted in Fig. 1, the total electrical consumption decreased of 30-35 kWht⁻¹ using the INJ1/INJ2 procedures. Most of the electrical savings are concentrated during the refining stage, with a decrease of 20 kWht⁻¹ in comparison to the STD procedure. The reason should be related to the initial reduction of 1 ton of lime, which means a lesser amount of material to be melted, together to a better foaming condition. The introduction of lime injection has also allowed a reduction in the power-on time of about 1.5 minutes in comparison with the traditional procedure.



Fig. 1 variation of total electrical consumption switching from STD procedure to INJ1/2 procedures.

Furthermore, the enhancing of the furnace performances, brought by the injection procedure, were compared with the theoretical savings evaluated through the Köhle method [9,10]. By taking into account all the parameters modifications led by the injection procedures, a theoretical electrical savings value of about 15 kWht⁻¹ was obtained. Therefore, it is possible to assume that the higher values observed for both INJ1 and INJ2 procedures are due to the enhancing of the slag foamability, which is a parameter not considered in the model.

The reductions observed for the methane consumption can be related to the higher reaction rate

achieved thanks to the injection of lime in fine particles, which guarantees an enhancement of the process efficiency. Similarly, the oxygen consumption had a general decrease. However, a weak increase of oxygen consumption between INJ1 and INJ2 procedure was observed. It is possible to assume that due to the lower amount of slag produced, less chemical energy is required for the heating; therefore, explaining the reduction of both the electrical and CH₄ consumptions.

The ISD diagrams highlighted how, during the STD procedure (Fig. 2(a)), the slag was over-saturated in MgO, consequently too "crusty" and prone to collapse even though an enough bubbles dispersion is formed within the slag [11]. On the other hand, during the INJ1 procedure (Fig 2(b)) the slag seems to be correctly saturated with respect of MgO at the end of refining stage, with a subsequent increase of the refractories lifetime. The THD analysis confirmed the above assumption, decreasing its value from 11.5 (partially uncovered arc) to 10.5 (well covered arc) switching from STD to INJ1 procedure.



Fig. 2 ISD at 1600 °C: (a) STD, (b) INJ1.

CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

In this work, a new method for the injection of fine lime particles in the EAF of the Acciaierie di Calvisano was investigated.

The aim of this study was to analyze and validate the benefits of lime injection compared to the traditional "lump charging" technique.

More than satisfactory benefits have been observed by the application of the injection procedures. The reduction of 1 ton of CaO, thanks to the injection procedures, allowed to decrease both the electrical and chemical energy demand of the EAF enhancing at the same time the slag foamability.

In particular, the electric consumption was reduced by 20-30 kWht⁻¹, with most of the savings concentrated in the refining stage (66.5%); power-on time was also reduced of about 1-1.5 minutes using injected lime. Oxygen and methane consumptions were also reduced of $1.5 \text{ m}^3\text{t}^{-1}$ and $0.5 \text{ m}^3\text{t}^{-1}$.

Taking into account the electrical and methane savings an average of 3,460 t/year and 552 t/year of equivalent CO₂ were calculated. Corresponding to a value of 2,342 and 446 in TOE, respectively. Considering the carbon tax, according to EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) who fixed the CO₂ social cost to 30 €/t (50 €/t not later than 2030 [12]) the not emitted CO2 correspond to a saving of 117,180 €. Alternatively, according to a study by Stanford University [13], the social cost saving associated to not emitted CO₂, can be equal to 722,608 €, for a CO₂ social cost estimated to 185 €/t.

The investigation carried out on the ISDs, validated by the THD of the corresponding heat, highlighted which procedures lead to the best foaming condition. In particular, the optimal slag foamability was reached for the production of special steels by the use of the INJ1 procedure.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Wolfe, J. Massin, T. Hunturk, W. Ripamonti, Lime injection technology – a viable tool for the electric arc furnace, in: SCANMET III 3rd Int. Conf. Process Dev. Iron Steelmak., Luleå, 2008: p. 10.
- [2] L.D. and J.K. Wolfe, Overview of Lime Injection in the Electric Arc Furnace, in: 2010.
- [3] D.C. Montgomery, Design and Analysis of Experiments, 8th Editio, John Wiley & Sons Ltd, New York, 2012.
- [4] E. Pretorius, Fundamentals of Eaf and Ladle Slags, (2004) 1–73.
- [5] E. Pretorius, R. Carlisle, Foamy slag fundamentals and their practical application to electric furnace steelmaking, Iron Steelmak. 26 (1999) 79–88.
- [6] D. Vieira, R.A.M. de Almeida, W.V. Bielefeldt, A.C.F. Vilela, Slag Evaluation to Reduce Energy Consumption and EAF Electrical Instability, Mater. Res. 19 (2016) 1127–1131. https://doi.org/10.1590/1980-5373-MR-2015-0720.
- [7] A. Ghosh, A. Chatterjee, Ironmaking and Steelmaking Theory and Practice, 2008.
- [8] D. Mombelli, C. Mapelli, S. Barella, A.

Gruttadauria, R. Sosio, G. Valentino, V. Ancona, Model for Phosphorus Removal in LD Converter and Design of a Valuable Operative Practice, Steel Res. Int. 89 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1002/srin.201700467.

- [9] D. Mombelli, G. Dall'Osto, C. Mapelli, A. Gruttadauria, S. Barella, Modeling of a Continuous Charging Electric Arc Furnace Metallic Loss Based on the Charge Mix, Steel Res. Int. (2020). https://doi.org/10.1002/srin.202000580.
- [10] H. Pfeifer, M. Kirschen, H. Pfeifer, M. Kirschen, J.P. Simoes, Thermodynamic analysis of electrical energy demand Development of an innovative hot isostatic press for combined consolidation and heat treatment of semifinished products and components View project Mixing Time View project Thermodynamic analysis of EAF, 2005. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2763 92150.
- [11] S. Barella, A. Gruttadauria, C. Mapelli, D. Mombelli, Critical evaluation of role of viscosity and gas flowrate on slag foaming, Ironmak. Steelmak. (2012). https://doi.org/10.1179/1743281212Y.0000000 009.
- [12] QualEnergia.it, Portare il prezzo della CO2 a 30 euro per tonnellata: la proposta francese, (2016).
- F.C. Moore, D.B. Diaz, Temperature impacts on economic growth warrant stringent mitigation policy, Nat. Clim. Chang. 5 (2015) 127–131. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2481.

Static dissolution evaluation of dolomite-based materials in EAF-type slag

<u>S. Scheiber</u>^{a)}, E. Cheremisina^{a)}, J. Rieger^{a)}, J. Schenk^{b)}, F. Firsbach^{c)}, W. Johnson^{c,d)}, T. Chopin^{c)}, M. Nispel^{c)}

a) K1-MET GmbH, Metallurgical Competence Centre
b) Montanuniversität Leoben, Chair of Ferrous Metallurgy
c) hoist Business Innovation Center (BIC)
d) hoist North America (LNA)
stefanie.scheiber@k1-met.com

INTRODUCTION

In steelmaking fluxes are added to form a basic slag with desired chemistry to enable refining reactions and subsequent higher steel quality. Thus, CaO and MgO carrying additives, such as lime or dolomite are added, whereas dolomite simultaneously decreases the refractory wear by MgO saturation in the slag. ^[1]

Raw dolomite comprises mainly the double carbonate $CaMg(CO_3)_2$, which is stepwise transformed to CaO and MgO particles during calcination. The calcination proceeds gradually. In the range of 600–700 °C, magnesium carbonate decomposes first and MgO gets enriched at the outer surface of the material. From 700 °C to 900 °C, the decarbonation of the remaining CaCO₃ proceeds. Besides, the specific surface of the additives increases during the calcination. ^[2–5]

Conventionally, the calcination is executed in rotary or shaft kilns at the production site with subsequent cooling of burned additives for transport to steelmaking industry. The outlined method is accompanied by extensive heat losses and large amounts of CO₂; thus, the direct applicability of raw dolomite is of interest. Assuming that the in-situ decomposition under high-temperature steelmaking conditions leads to high reactivity and rapid dissolution, dense surface layers as well as low overall porosity may result due to the process conditions. These properties immensely decrease the dissolution because cracks and macropores facilitate the infiltration by liquid slag. Additionally, the highly endothermic character of decarbonisation causes locally an extensive drop of slag temperature if the required heat supply is missing. [6, 7]

STATIC DISSOLUTION BEHAVIOUR TESTING

The impact of calcination condition on the dissolution of disc samples made of raw, soft- and hard-burned dolomite (also called dolime) was evaluated in laboratory scale tests under static conditions. The additive samples (cf. **Tab. 1**) were immersed in molten synthetic Electric Arc Furnace (EAF) slag prepared of chemically pure powders with an initial composition of 10 wt.-% Al₂O₃, 25 wt.-% SiO₂, 25 wt.-% CaO, 8 wt.-% MnO and 32 wt.-% FeO at 1,450 °C under N₂ gas atmosphere. After 10 min reaction time, slags were quenched with liquid nitrogen to analyse the current dissolution status on metallographically prepared cross-sections by Scanning Electron Microscopy with Energy Dispersive X-Ray spectroscopy (SEM/EDX).

PROFILE EVALUATION

SEM/EDX analyses using FEI QUANTA 200 in low vacuum were carried out to determine the bulk slag composition. The oxide contents of MgO, Al₂O₃, SiO₂, CaO, MnO and FeO have been examined at defined distances of 2 mm from the crucible wall as a reference line to generate so-called concentration profiles (unknown position of the completely covered sample prior to cutting; cf. **Fig 1**). Hence, the mean oxide values per image were calculated based on at least two analysis areas of constant size (270 μ m by 200 μ m). Areas with changed morphology and chemistry, i.e. remaining sample parts or slag/sample interlayers, were eliminated. This method enables the evaluation of favourable calcination condition.



Fig. 1 Schematic preparation of SEM sample and positions of EDX analysis

RESULTS

A static experimental setup using synthetic EAF slag and disc samples was selected. **Tab. 1** shows the theoretical bulk slag compositions (target contents) under assumption of complete additive dissolution calculated from composition and added sample mass. The pure iron crucibles, applied to stabilise the FeO in slag, resulted in unwanted iron uptake in the vicinity to the crucible. Besides, the static conditions led to local enrichments next to the sample-slag interface and thus, higher amounts than the theoretical maximum were partially observed. However, since there was no added magnesium oxide in the starting slag, the total MgO measured via EDX analyses originated from the partial dissolution of dolomite.

NI -	Sample	Target content of oxide X [wt%]					
NO.		MgO	Al ₂ O ₃	SiO ₂	CaO	MnO	FeO
1	Raw 1	3.8	9.0	22.5	28.8	7.2	28.7
2	Raw 2	4.2	9.0	22.5	28.3	7.2	28.8
3	Soft 1	3.4	9.0	22.6	28.9	7.2	28.8
4	Soft 2	4.2	9.0	22.5	28.3	7.2	28.8
5	Hard 1	3.7	9.0	22.5	28.9	7.2	28.7
6	Hard 2	4.1	9.0	22.6	28.2	7.2	28.9

 Tab. 1 Theoretical slag composition after complete sample dissolution without iron uptake from crucible

The concentration profile of test no. 2 in **Fig. 2** shows the oxide content fluctuations along the cross-section.



Fig. 2 Exemplary oxide concentration profiles along the cross-section calculated from SEM/EDX analyses of bulk slag areas

Due to the high mobility of iron at temperatures close to its melting point, the slags' FeO contents exceeded the expected values. The amounts of Al₂O₃ and MnO were relatively constant along the cross-sections. The addition of dolomite should lead to a rise in CaO and MgO content. The CaO levels were even below the SiO₂, if soft- or hard-burned dolomites were applied in dissolution tests. The amount of calcium oxide in slag increased due to the addition of raw dolomite samples and exceeded the SiO₂ fraction. Concerning MgO, the concentration profiles differed strongly depending on material condition. The MgO content in slag after the test with raw dolomite 1 showed a smooth profile with 4.4 wt.-% MgO on average. In the case of raw dolomite 2, a further rise in the MgO trend towards the centre of the slag cross-section was observed with the highest total mean MgO value. The experiments with soft- and hard-burned materials showed stronger fluctuations in MgO profiles due to missing oxide equilibration by natural convection and absence of insitu decomposition gas stirring. Moreover, the total mean contents of MgO were only 2.3 wt.-% and 1.6 wt.-% in case of soft-burned materials. Assuming that the porosity was lower due to increased sintering, the dissolution of hard-burned dolomite should be even lower than that of soft-burned material. The profiles as well as the bar chart of total mean values in Fig. 3 confirmed the expected trend. The MgO content was below 2 wt.-% on average.



Fig. 3 Total mean oxide contents of bulk slags (FeO excluded) with target contents according to Tab. 1

CONCLUSION

The raw dolomite samples showed a proper dissolution behaviour. It was assumed that released CO₂ due to in-situ decomposition of the carbonates in the raw dolomite samples led to a stirring effect. In contrast, the dissolution performance of hard-burned dolomites without any remaining carbonates was lower in these static dissolution tests. However, the smoother calcination conditions of soft-burned dolomites resulted in higher porosity compared to hard-burned specimens. Their dissolution behaviour was between raw and hard-burned dolomite samples.

REFERENCES

- M. Umakoshi, K. Mori and Y. Kawai: Dissolution rate of burnt dolomite in molten FetO-CaO-SiO₂ slags. Transactions of the Iron and Steel Institute of Japan, Vol. 24 (7), 1984, pp. 532–539.
- [2] K. Sasaki et al.: Effect of natural dolomite calcination temperature on sorption of borate onto calcined products. Microporous and Mesoporous Materials, Vol. 171, 2013, pp. 1–8.
- [3] Y. Satyoko and W.E. Lee: Dissolution of dolomite and doloma in silicate slag. British Ceramic Transactions, Vol. 98 (6), 1999, pp. 261–265.
- [4] E. Cheremisina et al.: Kinetics and Mechanisms of Dolime Dissolution in Steelmaking Slag. Metallurgical and Materials Transactions B, Vol. 50 (3), 2019, pp. 1269–1276.
- [5] A. Chychko and S. Seetharaman: Foaming in Electric Arc Furnace Part I: Laboratory Studies of Enthalpy Changes of Carbonate Additions to Slag Melts. Metallurgical and Materials Transactions B, Vol. 42 (1), 2011, pp. 20–29.
- [6] M. Chen et al.: Limestone Dissolution in Converter Slag: Kinetics and Influence of Decomposition Reaction. ISIJ International, Vol. 58 (12), 2018, pp. 2271–2279.
- [7] H. Deng et al.: Dissolution behaviour of limestone in converter slag: evolution of microstructure and reaction interface. Ironmaking & Steelmaking, Vol. 30 (2), 2019, pp. 1–7.

Achieving a new level of process efficiency in EAF steelmaking with sample preparation free slag analysis based on Laser OES

<u>Alexander Schlemminger^{a)},</u>

a) alexander.schlemminger@quantolux.de

INTRODUCTION

Resource as well as energy efficiency is one of the most important factors for economical success in today's highly competitive steel making industry. To reach a maximum energy efficiency an effective raw material procurement, motivated employees, modern equipment and last but not least the optimized process control is the key to success. In order to monitor the steelmaking process, a variety of measures and sensors have been introduced in the past. However, the composition of the slag, which is much more important for process control than the analysis of the actual steel itself, could not be analyzed quickly enough to correct the process within the required time. Especially in the electric furnace, important information like the basicity or content of elements like Cr, S and F is missing.

FIRST SECTION

In the event of a deviation, a defective basicity leads to significantly higher energy consumption due to inadequate foaming behavior of the slag and thus to a lack of insulation resulting in high energy losses. Furthermore, it can cause a high consumption of refractory material and thus, lower equipment lifetime on the one hand and lower product quality e.g. due to impurities on the other hand. Especially since EAF steel making is undergoing a significant change within the context of the decarbonization of the steel industry, this is an important point. Among other things, the use of Direct Reduced Iron (DRI) will lead to extended phases of foaming slag, which in turn will increase the negative effect of the delay of slag analysis.

Moreover, the long analysis times of the slags are caused by their heterogeneity. Therefore, they have been analyzed with X-ray-based methods with prior sample homogenization for more than 50 years. The common approach to homogenize heterogeneous samples were crushed, materials, grinded, demetallized and pressed or re-melted. This took 6-30 minutes on average depending on the degree of automation and it was therefore costly due to the amount of equipment required. In addition, each preparation also leads to a sample sample preparation error. This additionally compromises the representativeness of the result and thus the usability.



Fig. 1 Impact of single errors to the representativeness of the analysis results

Due to that complexity steel making slags have been analyzed mostly in central laboratories somewhere on the steel mill site rather than near the furnace. In contrast to that, steel samples for example are increasingly analyzed in the control room close to the melt shop. Thus, in addition to the analysis time, time must be calculated in for cooling, packing and shipping the sample, usually by pneumatic tube.

Only a few steel mills can wait that long for LF and EAF slag analysis results. Therefore, in most of the cases the steel further treated and transferred to the following treatment stages without having the slag composition on hand. The results of the analysis can then only be used for post-mortem evaluation and, if necessary, for adjusting subsequent melts. Thus, further optimization of the process control is very limited.

2ND SECTION

Laser-based optical emission spectroscopy (laser OES) is becoming increasingly established as an alternative to existing analysis approaches. It works equivalent to spark spectrometry but with the essential difference that the plasma is not ignited by an electric spark but by a laser. The energy pulse created by the laser transforms minimal amounts of the sample material into a plasma, which emits a light during degeneration which is specific to the elemental composition of the sample at that point.



Fig. 2 Laser OES principle on heterogeneous sample

The light is then detected by a spectrometer and converted into a digital signal. Since the laser OES is capable of generating thousands of measurements in a few seconds, the tremendous amount of data can be evaluated on the basis of an appropriate calibration. In addition to its speed, the laser as a plasma excitation source is also particularly stable and hence far more durable than the available radiation sources for X-ray-based analysis. Furthermore, the non-contact measurement minimizes negative effects on the measurement such as dust and dirt contamination or high temperatures.

RESULTS

In this way, it is possible to analyze the heterogeneous slag at many thousands of individual points and to digitally homogenize these measured values to one stable analysis result.

Physical homogenization of the sample is no longer necessary. This is not only efficient but also smart in regards to Industry 4.0. Thus, an overall measurement time of less than 2 minutes is achieved, which enables direct and precise process adaption in the EAF.

Another advantage of Laser OES is that light elements or halogens such as fluorine can also be analyzed. Thus, the CaF content can be adjusted more precisely, which is due to more and more strict environmental requirements relevant. Thereby slag can reliably sold as a byproduct instead of costly disposals in land filling

CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

Summarizing, steel mills do have the opportunity now to use their resources even more efficiently. They can produce higher quality, increase the operating life of their equipment and minimize the disposal costs of slag. All this can be achieved without significant changes to the production facilities, simply by adapting the analysis strategy and to adjust their melt shop process control. In line with the motto "those who know a lot can optimize a lot", savings of over ≤ 1 per ton of steel produced can be achieved. With production volumes of over 1 million t / year, savings in the mid seven-digit \in range can be targeted in this way. This increase in efficiency is an important factor for the competitiveness of steel mills worldwide.

Optical emission spectroscopy in electric arc furnaces and ladle furnaces –

from laboratory to industrial applications

H.Pauna^{a)}, M. Aula^{a)}, M. Huttula^{b)}, and T. Fabritius^{a)}

a) Process Metallurgy Research Unit, University of Oulu, P.O. Box 4300, FI-90014 Oulu, Finland

b) Nano and Molecular Systems Research Unit, University of Oulu, P.O. Box 3000, FI-90014 Oulu, Finland

Contact email: henri.pauna@oulu.fi

INTRODUCTION

Steel is one of the most recycled materials in the world, and electric arc furnaces (EAFs) together with ladle furnaces (LFs) are the main units to process the recycled scrap metal [1]. As the steel recycling rate, electricity-based furnace capacities, and the steelmaking overall are expected to increase, the realtime response of the analysis system becomes more and more important. One promising on-line measurement tool for EAFs and LFs that can withstand the furnace conditions is optical emission spectroscopy (OES). In this paper, the recent experimental OES research for EAFs and LFs is reviewed. The research includes a small-scale furnace (University of Oulu, Finland), a pilot-scale furnace (RWTH Aachen University, IOB, Germany), and industrial furnaces (Deutsche Edelstahlwerke, Germany).

EXPERIMENTAL

Schematic illustrations of the measurement setups for small-scale, pilot-scale, and industrial furnaces have been presented in Fig. 1. The capacity of the pilot-scale EAF was 200 kg of liquid steel. The capacities of the industrial EAFs were 120 and 140 t depending on the steel grade, whereas the capacity of the industrial LF was 140 t. The spectrometers were Czerny-Turner Avaspec-ULS2048 provided by Luxmet Oy. The spectrometers covered ultra-violet (UV), visible (VIS), and near-infrared (NIR) regions of the spectrum of light.

THEORETICAL

Plasma temperature and electron density are the fundamental parameters that describe the plasma. The plasma equations that have been used are

$$N_{e} = C\sqrt{T^{3}} \frac{I_{mn}^{z} \lambda_{mn}^{z} A_{ij}^{z+1} g_{i}^{z+1}}{I_{ij}^{z+1} \lambda_{ij}^{z+1} A_{mn}^{z} g_{m}^{z}} exp\left(\frac{E_{m}^{z} - E_{ion} - E_{i}^{z+1}}{kT}\right)$$
(1)

$$ln\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{z}\lambda_{mn}}{g_{m}A_{mn}}\right) = -\frac{1}{kT}E_{m}^{z} + ln\left(\frac{hcN^{z}}{4\pi U^{z}(T)}\right)$$
(2)

$$N_e \ge 1.6 \times 10^{12} \sqrt{T} (\Delta E)^3 \ cm^{-3}$$
 (3)



Fig. 1. Example view cones into the arc for a) laboratory, b) pilot-scale, c) industrial ladle furnace, and d) industrial electric arc furnace. The OES measurement head has been indicated with a gray bar and the view cone into the furnace with dashed lines.

where Eq. (1) is the Saha-Boltzmann equation for electron density, Eq. (2) is the Boltzmann equation for plasma temperature, and Eq. (3) is the McWhirter criterion for the fulfilment of local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE). Full description of these equations can be found elsewhere [2,3]. The electrons are the main particles that distribute the heat energy inside the plasma [4], and thus exceeding the McWhirter electron density criterion means also that the heat in the plasma is more uniformly distributed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Example spectra for pilot-scale furnace, industrial ladle furnace, and industrial electric arc furnace have been presented in Fig. 2. In comparison to the industrial spectra, finer details can be observed in the IOB



Fig 2. Spectra from pilot-scale furnace (IOB), industrial electric arc furnace (EAF), and industrial ladle furnace (LF). The intensities have been normalized for comparison purposes.

spectra. This is caused by several factors since e.g. the furnace atmosphere and heat radiation are not as extreme in the pilot-scale furnace as in industrial furnaces. The light, especially in the UV range, is effectively absorbed by the gases in the furnace atmosphere, and thus the harsh environment may lead to absorption of light. Weaker emission lines, on the other hand, might not be distinguishable if the emission lines are overwhelmed by the intensive heat radiation or other intensive emission lines.

All of the spectra in Fig. 2 have many intensive emission lines from the atomic slag components and molecular sources. The atomic optical emissions originate mainly from Cr I, Fe I, Ca I, Ca II, Mg I, and Mn I with few lines also from Al I and Si I. The Roman numeral refers to the ionization degree of the atom (I = neutral, II = singly ionized). Atmospheric components, such as N I, C I, H I, and O I, are also observed together with K I, Na I, Li I, and Rb I. The most intensive molecular optical emission comes from cyanide (CN) with intensive emission bands at 355 and 385 nm [5].

The preliminary OES tests were conducted in the University of Oulu on a small-scale furnace by Mäkinen

et al. [6] already in 2013. Afterwards, Aula et al. [7] identified emission lines of various components that are usually present in slags. They found several suitable lines for the evaluation of Cr_xO_y/Fe_xO_y and MnO/SiO_2 slag components. Another laboratory EAF was used for OES measurements at The Royal Institute of Technology (Sweden), where image analysis was combined with OES plasma analysis and used to study the foaming conditions [8].

The OES measurements in the pilot-scale EAF have included studies on the on-line analysis of Cr2O3 content [9] and arc plasma characterization [10]. These studies have brought new insight into the on-line applicability of the OES and how the quality of the spectra can be evaluated with plasma diagnostics. An example of plasma diagnostics for a pilot-scale measurement is presented in Fig. 3. The electron density (Eq. (1)) is usually several magnitudes above the LTE criterion density (Eq. (3)). Plasma temperatures (Eq. (2)) that are derived from different atomic species, on the other hand, have been observed to deviate from one another and range from 4000 to 12000 K. Differences in plasma temperature can be attributed to non-satisfactory LTE conditions [2].



Fig. 3. Example plasma diagnostics in an IOB measurement.

In the industrial EAF campaigns, the focus has been on the process condition characterization [11], monitoring of the slag surface conditions and furnace atmosphere [12], plasma analysis as a tool to evaluate the spectrum quality for slag composition analysis [13], and OES as a process monitoring tool [14]. The equipment withstands the EAF process conditions even if the measurement head is attached to the EAF roof. A recent study on the industrial LFs has focused on the slag composition analysis for CaF₂, MgO, and MnO together with plasma diagnostics [15].

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

OES has proven to be a valid option for the development of on-line method for slag composition analysis and process control in industrial EAFs and LFs. In addition to the on-line applicability of OES, the measurement equipment is simple, withstands the harsh process environment, and requires only minimal maintenance when installed properly. The data validation and quality control can be made with plasma diagnostics.

The on-line slag composition analysis tool is probably the most prominent application of the OES in the EAFs and LFs, but the method has the potential to be used also to observe radiative heat transfer, molten bath surface temperature, process conditions, and melting of the charge material. Furthermore, OES can be used to study flames and practically any other sources of light, making e.g. burner studies with OES viable. Potential and promising OES research in the future, just to name a few, include the development of on-line process control for EAFs and LFs, flame studies, and coupling OES with models.

REFERENCES

- H.-J. Odenthal, A. Kemminger, F. Krause, L. Sankowski, N. Uebber, and N. Vogl, *Steel. Res. Int.* **2018**, 89, 1700098. DOI: 10.1002/srin.201700098
- [2] C. Aragón, J. A. Aguilera, Spectrochim. Acta Part B
 2008, 63, 9, 893-916. DOI: 10.1016/j.sab.2008.05.010
- [3] R. W. P. McWhirter, *Plasma Diagnostic Techniques*, Academic Press, New York, USA 1965.
- [4] G. Kühn and M. Kock, *Phys. Rew. E* 2007, 75, 016406. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.75.016406
- [5] H. Pauna, T. Willms, M. Aula, T. Echterhof, M.Huttula, and T. Fabritius, *Plasma Research Express* 2021, accepted manuscript. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1088/2516-1067/abfc2a
- [6] A. Mäkinen, J. Niskanen, H. Tikkala, and H. Aksela, *Rew. Sci. Instrum.* 2013, 84, 043111. DOI: 10.1063/1.4802833
- [7] M. Aula, A. Mäkinen, T. Fabritius, *Appl. Spectrosc.* 2014, 68, 1. DOI: 10.1366/13-07079
- [8] M. Aula, H. Pauna, N. Å. I. Andersson, C. Y. C. Jonsson, and T. Fabritius, 7th International Congress on Science and Technology of Steelmaking 2018, conference proceedings.
- [9] M. Aula, T. Demus, T. Echterhof, M. Huttula, H. Pfeifer, and T. Fabritius, *ISIJ Int.* **2016**, 57, 3, 478-486. DOI: 10.2355/isijinternational.ISIJINT-2015-677
- [10] H. Pauna, T. Willms, M. Aula, T. Echterhof, M. Huttula, and T. Fabritius, *Plasma Research Express* 2019, 1, 035007. DOI: 10.1088/2516-1067/ab30dd
- [11] M. Aula, T. Demus, T. Echterhof, M. Huttula, H. Pfeifer, T. Fabritius, *ISIJ Int.* **2017**, 57, 3, 478-486. DOI: 10.2355/isijinternational.ISIJINT-2015-677
- [12] M. Aula, A. Mäkinen, A. Leppänen, M. Huttula, and T. Fabritius, *ISIJ Int.* 2015, 55, 8, 1702-1710. DOI: 10.2355/isijinternational.ISIJINT-2015-042
- [13] H. Pauna, M. Aula, J. Seehausen, J.-S. Klung, M. Huttula, and T. Fabritius, 4th European Steel Technology and Application Days 2019, conference proceedings
- [14] H. Pauna, M. Aula, J. Seehausen, J.-S. Klung, M. Huttula, and T. Fabritius, *Steel Res. Int.* **2020**, 91, 11, 2000051, DOI: 10.1002/srin.202000051
- [15] H. Pauna, M. Aula, J. Seehausen, J.-S. Klung, M. Huttula, and T. Fabritius, *ISIJ Int.* **2020**, 60, 9, 1985-1992, DOI: 10.2355/isijinternational.ISIJINT-2019-676

Session V

Digital transformation of the steelmaking industry: An EAF case study V. Logar, S. Tomažič, G. Andonovski, A. Blažič, I. Škrjanc

Study of the influence of the charging materials on the metallic loss of a continuous charging electric arc furnace by multiple linear regression D. Mombelli, G. Dall'Osto, C. Mapelli, A. Gruttadauria, S. Barella

IDEAS: Intelligent Dynamic EAF Advisory System for Improving Operating Efficiency Z. Voss, J. Jones, R. O'Malley, S. Sridhar

Preliminary experiences from the application of model predictive control for the EAF process in stainless steelmaking V.-V. Visuri, S. Jawahery, N. Hyttinen, S. O. Wasbø, M. Schlautmann

Digital transformation of the steelmaking industry: An EAF case study

<u>V. Logar</u>, S. Tomažič, G. Andonovski, A. Blažič, I. Škrjanc

Faculty of electrical engineering, University of Ljubljana, Tržaška 25, SI-1000 Ljubljana,

Slovenia

vito.logar@fe.uni-lj.si

INTRODUCTION

In the past decade, steelmaking industry has been a subject of increasing importance in terms of its digitalization and informatization. The reason for this lies in increased market competition, higher consumer demands for the final products, stricter environmental regulations, as well as in a strive for lower energy and raw material use. Even today, many of the steelmaking plants still manufacture their products in a very similar manner as they did twenty or more years ago, meaning that very few software-support systems exist that give the opportunity for a potentially higher plant efficiency. Nevertheless, there were already many attempts to enhance several steelmaking systems by introducing advanced software support systems. Since the introduction of process monitoring and data acquisition systems, also known as SCADAs, most steelmaking plants acquire large amounts of process data, which is mainly used for process monitoring and fault detection; however, it also facilitates the development of advanced digitalization and informatization of plant processes.

BACKGROUND

Faculty of electrical engineering, University of Ljubljana cooperates in an ongoing EU Horizon 2020, SPIRE initiative project INEVITABLE (Optimization and performance improving in metal industry by digital technologies), primarily focusing on the introduction of advanced software methods for improving the performance of the steelmaking industry. In the scope of the project, several steelmaking processes are being addressed as subjects of digital transformation, such as the electric arc furnace (EAF), ladle furnace (LD), vacuum degassing (VD), cold-rolling mill (ZRM), and metal casting. All these processes will be a subject to full digitalization and upgrade to different levels of advanced software support, which will consist of mathematical process models, data-based

process models, as well as mathematical and datadriven process optimization. The main goals of the project are focusing on decreased resource use (energy and materials) and green-house-gas (GHG) emissions. One of the three proposed use cases is led by the Faculty of electrical engineering and is devoted solely to the digitalization, optimization and advanced software support of the EAF.

EAF DIGITALIZATION CONCEPT

The concept of EAF digitalization and advanced software support can schematically be represented in Figure 1. The idea of EAF digitalization is to use the appropriate data acquisition systems to obtain all necessary EAF measurements, use them in either an online or an offline manner in different software tools intended for enhanced process monitoring, advanced operator decision support, or advanced process optimization, and finally, to use the outputs of these tools for process improvement. The tools developed, integrated into the industrial environment, and used to increase the process efficiency in the scope of the INEVITABLE project (EAF use case) comprise of two main solutions, i.e. the mathematical models of the EAF (used for offline simulation and online process monitoring), and the EAF optimization framework (used for offline EAF profile optimization).

MATHEMATICAL EAF MODELS

As already mentioned, the first proposed solution are the mathematical EAF models. These models represent the foundation to all other implemented methods. The idea behind the models is to describe certain parts or subprocesses of the EAF with sufficient accuracy and thus, use them as a basis for process monitoring, estimation of the unmeasured process values, process simulation, and EAF profile optimization. In the scope of the project, two different approaches regarding the process modelling are taken.



Figure 1: schematic representation of the EAF use case

first approach is based on The theoretical background, meaning that the developed EAF models are designed on known theoretical and physical laws and parameterized using the available EAF measurements. The aim of these models is twofold. First, these models will be used in an online manner for process monitoring and estimation of the unmeasured process values, such as the furnace temperatures, energy balance, and the stage of melting. Second, the models will also be used in an offline manner for testing different EAF operation scenarios, aiming to give additional information to the technologists. In this regard, the users will have a possibility to simulate different melting programs, and different charging patterns and simultaneously observe their influence on the overall EAF performance. Theoretical EAF models have been developed by our group in the past and modified several times [1-6]. Existent models were simplified (simpler geometry and chemistry), parameterized using actual EAF measurements, and modified in terms of proper data handling, error handling etc. to insure proper online processing.

The second approach is based on data-driven background, meaning that the developed EAF models are designed without any physical background and rely solely on the input-output data mapping. In this case. fuzzy-based nonlinear modellina is implemented. The aim of these models is to obtain a dynamic relation between the influential EAF inputs and the desired output, using a simpler model structure in comparison to theoretical models, as well as to use as few data inputs as possible. Two fuzzybased models were designed and trained using the data, i.e. fuzzy-based bath temperature EAF fuzzy-based estimation and dissolved oxygen estimation. Since the design and parameterization of the fuzzy models highly relies on the measured data, these two models are designed to be used in an online manner only for the refining stage of the EAF process. The reason for this lies in the deficient measurements in the main EAF melting stage, i.e. temperature, dissolved oxygen, which disables the training and validation of the models. The study has shown that fuzzy-based approach yields even slightly better results in terms of estimation errors in comparison to the theoretical models, which is the main reason to include them as one of the solutions for the industrial environment.

EAF OPTIMIZATION FRAMEWORK

The second proposed solution is represented by the EAF optimization framework. The idea behind it is to obtain new operational strategies for the EAF, which lead to increased EAF efficiency, either in terms of energy use, production times, or steel yield. The framework is intended to be used in an offline manner for optimizing the profiles of influential EAF inputs (transformer tap, oxygen lancing, carbon injection, slag formers addition) and scrap charging patterns. The methodology driving the optimization framework is based on historical EAF data and comprises of different statistical methods, clustering, classification, as well as data-based modelling. All proposed solutions are schematically shown in Figure 2.

CONCLUSION

The idea behind the ongoing EU project is to introduce and put into the operation advanced software-support solutions, facilitated by the digital infrastructure, aiming to improve the performance of the EAF. To follow the current state-of-the-art, all developed solutions will be based on Edge and Cloud Computing technology (Siemens Mindsphere).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work presented in this paper is funded by the EU Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme, SPIRE initiative, under Grant agreement no. 869815, project INEVITABLE ("Optimization and performance improving in metal industry by digital technologies").

REFERENCES

- V. Logar, D. Dovžan, I. Škrjanc, Modelling and validation of an electric arc furnace: Part 1, heat and mass transfer, ISIJ International, Vol. 52, pp. 402-412, 2012.
- [2] V. Logar, D. Dovžan, I. Škrjanc, Modelling and validation of an electric arc furnace: Part 2, thermo-chemistry, ISIJ International, Vol. 52, pp. 413-423, 2012.
- [3] V. Logar, D. Dovžan, İ. Škrjanc, Mathematical modelling and experimental validation of an electric arc furnace, ISIJ International, Vol. 51 (3), pp. 382-391, 2011.
- [4] V. Logar, I. Škrjanc, Development of an electric arc furnace simulator considering thermal, chemical, and electrical aspects, ISIJ International, Vol. 52 (10), pp. 1924-1926, 2012.
- [5] V. Logar, I. Škrjanc, Modelling and validation of the radiative heat transfer in an electric arc furnace, ISIJ International, Vol. 52 (7), pp. 1225-1232, 2012.
- [6] V. Logar, A. Fathi, I. Škrjanc, A computational model for heat transfer coefficient estimation in electric arc furnace, Steel Research International, Vol. 87 (3), pp. 330-338, 2016.



Figure 2: schematic representation of the solutions in the scope of the INEVITABLE project

GhiXmicZh\Y`]bZiYbWY`cZh\Y`W\Uf[]b[`aUhYf]U`g`cb`h\Y`aYhU`]W`cgg`cZU Webh]bicig`W\Uf[]b[`Y`YWf]WUfWZifbUWY`Vmai`h]d`Y``]bYUf`fY[fYgg]cb`

D. M belli^{a)}, <u>G. Dall'Osto^{a)}</u>, C. Mapelli^{a)}, A. Gruttadauria^{a)}, S. Barella^{a)}

a) Politecnico di Milano, Dipartimento di Meccanica, Via La Masa 1, 20156 Milano, Italy gianluca.dallosto@polimi.it

-BHFC817H-CB

Steelmaking is a fundamental part of the base European and global industry. In Italy, the scrapbased route covers a crucial role with 37 electric arc furnace (EAF) production sites and a crude steel production share of 82% (global share is about 27%) [1, 2]. Furthermore, the principle of CO_2 and dust emission reduction to achieve the sustainable development scenario (SDS) is highly encouraging the use of electric arc furnaces (EAFs) as production process worldwide [3].

Scraps provide most of the metallic needs of the EAF. Based on several factors such as origin, chemical composition, sizes, and density, the scraps can be generally divided in three categories: obsolete, industrial and internal [4].

By a thermodynamic point of view, all the phenomena that are present during the scrap melting can be related to their surface over volume (S/V) [5]. From a theoretical point of view, to achieve faster and less energetically expensive scrap melting, it is necessary to use high S/V (light) scrap; however, a higher oxidation of the charging materials will be achieved [5]. Therefore, based on the type of steel that has to be produced, a scrap blend will be selected in order to minimize both the electrical demand and the metallic loss of the heat [6].

In the latest years, the optimization of the charge mix by empirical mathematical models, has become a fundamental practice aimed at decreasing the metallic losses, increasing the furnace performances and obtaining a proper melt chemistry.

Due to the complexity of modeling the EAF process a high number of approaches are possible, from linear regression [7 - 10] to genetic programming with long computational times [11]. However, in all these studies the different scraps are usually grouped in one variable.

The aim of this work is the development of two multiple linear regression (MLR) models for the investigation on the influence of eight charging materials on the metallic losses. More precisely: industrial demolition scrap, light and heavy metal sheets, internal scrap, shredded scrap, pig iron, bales and hot briquetted iron (HBI).

For the calibration and subsequent validation of the models, the data regarding 56 monthly heats

performed by a Consteel EAF (nominal charging capacity of 250 tonnes and used for the production of mild steel) were used.

In particular, the first MLR model considered the output (metallic loss) depending to the predictors (charging materials) taken as non-interactive variables, whereas second-order interactions between the charging materials were added in the second one.

F9GI @HG

MLR Model Without Variable Interactions

The work started with the selection of the calibration heats, so as to obtain the most heterogeneous set possible and increase therefore the model adaptability. Then, for the model reduction, the p-value analysis was performed (significant level α was set to 0.050). The final regression analysis highlighted that light sheets, shredded and internal scraps are three of the four statistically significant charging material; whereas HBI, even if characterized by a p-value higher than α , was kept in model due to its known influence on the melting process [12,13] The model was characterized by an R² and R²_{adj} of 98.20% and 97.75%, respectively.

In particular, sheet and shredded scraps due to their high S/V ratio (high oxidation tendency) increases the metallic loss value. On the other hand, the introduction of heavy internal scrap has a positive effect on the metallic loss, decreasing its value, consistently with its low S/V ratio.

MLR Model with Variable Interactions

The same heats used in the first MLR model and significance level were also used for the MLR model with variable interactions.

The results showed that each charging material interacts at least once with another one, with the main interactive material being the HBI.

In particular, thanks to the high amount of C introduced by the pig iron in the melt [28], the iron oxide present in the HBI can be reduced, and the metallic loss decreased. This interaction is correctly described by the term contained in the model.

MLR Models Validation

The MLR models were used in order to foresee the metallic losses of a set of heats, not used during the calibration, in order to validate their effectiveness.

The comparison between the calculated values of metallic loss obtained by using the non-interactive and interactive MLR model and the observed metallic loss values are shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, respectively.



Fig. 1 Measure and predicted metallic loss plot (red dots are outliers) by MLR without variable interactions.



Fig. 2 Measure and predicted metallic loss plot (red dots are outliers) by MLR with variable interactions.

For most of the heats, both the model well approximates the actual value of the metallic loss with an accuracy of less than 10%. Therefore, it is possible to confirm the reliability and prediction ability of them, in particular for metallic loss values between 7% and 12%.

7 CB7 @ G=CB'5 B8 CI H@CC?

Being able to know in advance, the metallic loss of a specific heat allows to carry out the necessary variations to the charge mix to increase the furnace productivity, minimize the economic losses and, most importantly, the environmental impact of the process.

The aim of this work was to analyze and describe how eight of the most common charging materials influence the metallic loss of an EAF Consteel by the development of two multiple linear regression models of increasing complexity.

The results obtained were physically coherent and the predicted metallic losses of the regression models were close to the actual ones. In addition to the aforementioned, the most important result of this study may be the need to rethink the way charging materials are considered when creating a statistical model for EAF.

The use of the various types of scrap as single variables and not enclosed in a single one, as mainly done so far, leads to an increase in the predictive capabilities of the statistical model, as well as an investigation into the relationships between the various charging materials during the heat

The MLR model without variable interactions highlighted how only some of the charging materials have a strong statistical significance on the metallic loss. The second MLR model (with variable interactions addition) highlighted how the metallic loss is influenced by the interaction among the charging materials, too.

The validation of the MLR models allowed to state the reliability of their predicted values. In particular, the second model predictions are more accurate than the first model ones, due to the presence of the secondorder predictors which are also able to provide a good insight of the main interaction that occurs among the several charging materials during the heat. Therefore, the hypothesis of the correlation between the charging materials fraction inside the charge mix and the metallic loss should be essential for the performance enhancement of the furnace.

F9:9F9B79G

- [1] World Steel Association, World steel in figures 2020
- [2] Federacciai, Assemblea annuale 2020: la siderurgia italiana in cifre.
- [3] Bureau of International Recycling, World steel recycling in figures 2015–2019.
- [4] W. Nicodemi, C. Mapelli, in Siderurgia. Associazione Italiana di Metallurgia, Milano, Italy 2011, pp. 102–103.
- [5] L. S. Carlsson, P. B. Samuelsson, P. G. Jönsson, Processes 2020, 8, 1044.
- [6] M. Frohling, F. Schwaderer, H. Bartusch, F. Schultmann, J. Ind. Ecol. 2012, 17, 5.
- [7] S. Köhle, J. Hoffmann, J. Baumert, M. Picco, P. Nyssen, E. Filippini, Improving The Productivity Of Electric Arc Furnaces; European Commission, Luxembourg 2003, pp. 39–40.
- [8] H. Pfeifer, M. Kirschen, J. P. Simoes, in Proc. 8th European Electric Steelmaking Conf., Institute of Materials Minerals and Mining, Birmingham 2005, pp. 211–232.
- [9] M. Haupt, C. Vadenbo, C. Zeltner, S. Hellweg, J. Ind. Ecol. 2017, 21, 391.
- [10]M. Kirschen, K. Badr, H. Pfeifer, Energy 2011, 36, 6146.

- [11]M. Kovacic, K. Stopar, R. Vertnik, B. Sarler. Energies 2019, 12, 2142.
- [12] A. F. Ciuffini, C. Di Cecca, S. Barella, C. Mapelli, A. Gruttadauria, in Proc. EEC 2016, AIM, Venezia 2016.
- [13] K. E. Daehn, A. Cabrera Serrenho, J. M. Allwood, Environ. Sci. Technol. 2017, 51, 6599.

IDEAS: Intelligent Dynamic EAF Advisory System for Improving Operating Efficiency

<u>Z. oss</u>^{a)}, J. Jones^{a)}, R. O'Malley^{b)}, S. Sridhar^{c)}
 a) Continuous Improvement Experts, Inc.
 b) Missouri University of Science & Technology
 c) A zona State University
 Zane.Voss@CIXLLC.com

INTRODUCTION

In recent years there has been an effort to integrate tools such as Artificial Intelligence (AI) into Electric Arc Furnace (EAF) manufacturing operations. In heavily automated operations with repetitive, similar operating cycles this may be possible. However, processes such as EAFsteelmaking experience a large number of variations from cycle to cycle, making it difficult to apply AI effectively. A more effective solution is the use of effective tools based on a sound understanding of process fundamentals. A recent program proposed by the Missouri University of Science and Technology, Arizona State University, Continuous Improvement Experts, Inc., several industrial partners and Linde with funding from the US Department of Energy's Advanced Manufacturing Office aims to develop and integrate a series of process models coupled with novel instrumentation. When operated in tandem, this will allow for the creation of an effective digital twin for the EAF.

BACKGROUND & DESCRIPTION

Over the previous two decades, few major changes in EAF technology have been adopted. Instead, equipment suppliers and plant operators turned their focus to improving safety, reliability, and consistency of technologies that are already employed. Concurrently, less focus has been placed on process analysis due to lower staffing levels, reduced training for operators and engineers, and the desire to heavily automate the EAF process at the expense of removing the operators from the decision loop.

Modern EAF operations collect millions of data points daily. However, little of this data is processed and filtered to provide actionable information due to lack of trained resources in analytical process metallurgy and appropriate process tools.

The goal of the current research will be to develop an expert system comprizing several modules functioning as a digital twin tied to fundamentals-based process modules. The expert system will feed information forwards and backwards between system modules to accurately synchronize the system and allow for tuning and customization at different steelmaking sites. This system will aid in EAF optimization, diagnosis of operational problems, and assist in raw materials optimization.

APPLICATION OF PROCESS MODELS

Models based on a detailed understanding of process fundaments are key to EAF optimization. As the EAF is an extremely high-temperature, highly oxidizing, and dynamic environment, direct measurement of the process is limited in scope. Measurements tend to be single-use disposable items like temperature and oxygen readings taken at the end of a heat. Others are taken after the heat cycle is completed, like offline chemical analysis of steelmaking slag.

Therefore, opportunities to carefully measure inputs to and outputs from the process must be taken in order to illustrate a complete picture of the process. IDEAS couples novel optical fiber sensor technology with process models to develop a real-time mass & energy balance of the EAF. The process models will take into account the scrap, iron, and non-metallic inputs to the EAF, measure energy losses, and display analytical statistics to the operator and process engineer.

THE ROLE OF THE OPERATOR AND PROCESS ENGINEER

With enhanced process analysis tools, it might be easy for one to believe that the roles of the EAF operator and process engineer might become obsolete. Nothing could be further from the truth. The EAF is one of the most complex process reactors encountered in heavy industry, requiring constant adjustment and optimization. In the future, the process engineer's role will be one of macro-optimization; tying together the results of mutiple tools to inform and drive high level optimization of the process. The operator will adopt the role of micro-optimization; making small adjustments to the process based on actionable intelligence generated by the process tools and process engineer.

CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

With proper tools combined with an improved understanding of process fundamentals, the IDEAS project will provide a greater understanding of the EAF and allow for enhanced optimization of this very challenging production process.

Preliminary experiences from the application of model predictive control for the EAF process in stainless steelmaking

V.-V. Visuri^{a)}, S. Jawahery^{b)}, N. Hyttinen^{a)}, S. O. Wasbø^{b)}, M. Schlautmann^{c)}

a) Outokumpu Stainless Oy, Terästie, 95490 Tornio, Finland
 b) Cybernetica AS, Leirfossvegen 27, 7038 Trondheim, Norway
 c) VDEh-Betriebsforschungsinstitut GmbH, Sohnstraße 69, 40237 Düsseldorf, Germany ville-valtteri.visuri@outokumpu.com

INTRODUCTION

Electric arc furnace (EAF) is a unit process for melting steel scrap and forms the second most common process route for producing steel after the blast furnace-converter route. Many of the parameters required for analysis and optimization cannot be measured directly due to the harsh conditions in the furnace. Mathematical models are thus a valuable tool for optimizing and controlling the EAF process. An exhaustive review of the available process models has been published recently by Hay et al. [1].

In recent decades, also fundamental process models have been applied for online control of the EAF process. The model by Bekker et al. [2] is intended for controlling the off-gas system and manipulates two variables (fan force and slip-gap) to adjust three outputs: the relative furnace pressure is regulated, while the off-gas temperature and off-gas CO mass fraction are only limited. Building on this model, Oosthuizen et al. [3] added a slag foaming model and used the rate of directly reduced iron (DRI) addition as an additional manipulated input variable. In later work, Oosthuizen et al. [4] proposed an MPC controller based on economic objectives, which were implemented as weights in a quadratic objective function. The model by MacRosty and Swartz [5] is formulated in terms of an economic performance objective and adjusts the arc power, oxygen flow from the burner, natural gas flow from the burner, oxygen injection, carbon injection, and mass of the second charge to minimize the total costs. The model by Oosthuizen et al. [3-4] employs a linear model predictive control (MPC) algorithm, while the models by Bekker et al. [2] and MacRosty and Swartz [5] employ a non-linear model predictive control (NMPC) algorithm.

In this work, an earlier-developed model by Pierre et al. [6–8] was used as a basis for a new model development, which was tested for model predictive control of the EAF process in stainless steelmaking.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The extended model is formulated in terms of state variables (see Fig. 1) and ordinary differential equations. The model accounts for the reaction of oxygen with Fe to FeO, C to CO or CO₂, Cr to Cr_2O_3 ,

Mn to MnO, Al to Al₂O₃, and Si to SiO₂. The oxidized components can be reduced by C or metallic species. The energy generated is distributed between different masses. The treatment of chemical reactions, phase changes, and heat transfer will be described in more detail in an upcoming publication.



Fig. 1 Schematic illustration of the state variables employed in the model.

The model is adapted to process data using recursive parameter estimation. The real-time optimization of the process is based on the NMPC framework. The model predictions are compared with actual measurements and the resulting residuals are used by a Kalman filter, which updates the state variables and selected parameters for estimation.

Two different NMPC applications were developed: time priority and energy priority. The underlying optimization scheme for both applications is the same and seeks to minimize two Key Performance Indicators (KPIs): (1) batch time and (2) energy consumption. The applications differ by the relative weight of each of these KPIs in the optimization cost function – the time priority strategy adjusts power input to attain a minimum processing time, while the energy priority focuses on minimizing the projected energy consumption.

The model was tested at a 140-tonne EAF in operation at Outokumpu Stainless Oy in Tornio,

Finland. This furnace has a transformer capacity of 140 MVA and is equipped with an auxiliary burner and an oxygen manipulator with a consumable lance including carbon powder injection [9].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 2 shows the comparison of predicted and measured temperature and weight of the metal bath after tapping for offline simulation of 247 heats. The predictions are scattered approximately evenly on both sides of the diagonal. The standard deviations of the predicted temperature and weight of the metal bath from the measured ones were approximately 27 °C and 7 tonnes, respectively.



Fig. 2 Comparison of predictions for temperature and weight of the metal bath with process measurements from 247 heats.

The NMPC applications continuously produce optimized electric power profiles, where the allowed power outputs are constrained to not deviate beyond a given interval from a pre-defined recipe profile. The optimized electric power is then converted to a voltage tap recommendation that can be used by operators. A setpoint deadband solution is employed to avoid oscillations or sudden changes in the voltage taps during the online testing period.

In a preliminary online testing campaign, the time priority NMPC was applied for providing suggestions to the operators, who would then execute the recommended voltage tap changes manually. The application also generates a prediction for the time point at which the scrap charge will be fully melted, which the operators can choose to consider. The results of this campaign will be reported in further work.

CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

This work aimed to test the applicability of model predictive control for an industrial-scale EAF in stainless steelmaking. The results of the offline simulations were conducted for a 140-tonne EAF in operation at Outokumpu Stainless Oy in Tornio, Finland. The results indicate that the model can predict the final temperature and weight of the metal bath with good accuracy and provide suggestions to the operator regarding optimized electrical power input.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Hay, V.-V. Visuri, M. Aula, and T. Echterhof, Steel Res. Int., 92(3): 2000395, 2021.
- [2] J. G. Bekker, I. K. Craig, and P.C. Pistorius, Control Eng. Pract., 8(4): 445–455, 2000.
- [3] D. J. Oosthuizen, I. K. Craig, and P. C. Pistorius, Proceedings of the IEEE Africon Conference, 1999.
- [4] D. J. Oosthuizen, I. K. Craig, and P. C. Pistorius, Control Eng. Pract., 12(3), 253–265, 2004.
- [5] R. D. M. MacRosty and C. L. E. Swartz, IFAC Proc. Vol., 40(11): 285–290, 2007.
- [6] B. Kleimt, R. Pierre, B. Dettmer, J. Deng, L. Schlinge, and H. Schliephake, Proceedings of the 10th European Electric Steelmaking Conference, 2012.
- [7] R. Pierre, B. Kleimt, L. Schlinge, I. Unamuno, and A. Arteaga, Proceedings of the 11th European Electric Steelmaking Conference, 2016.
- [8] T. Rekersdrees, H. Snatkin, L. Schlinge, R. Pierre, T. Kordel, B. Kleimt, S. Gogolin, and V. Haverkamp, Proceedings of the 3rd European Steel Technology & Application Days, 2017.
- [9] J. Spiess, H. Lempradi, G. Staudinger, and P. Z pp, Rev. Met. Paris, 102(4): 329-335, 2005.

The 4th European Academic Symposium on EAF Steelmaking is organized by

RWTH Aachen University Department for Industrial Furnaces and Heat Engineering Kopernikusstr. 10 52074 Aachen, Germany

For questions and additional information contact: Dr.-Ing. Thomas Echterhof Phone: +49 241 80-25958 mail: <u>seminar@iob.rwth-aachen.de</u>

Booklet published June 10th 2021, revised June 16th 2021